

IN THE CRIMINAL COURT OF TENNESSEE AT M 1 THE THIRTIETH JUDICIAL DISTRICT 2 3 **ORIGINAL** STATE OF TENNESSEE 4 5 Case No. 05-03038 6 vs. 7 VERN BRASWELL, Defendant. 9 10 TRANSCRIPT OF EVIDENCE Volumes 8 OF 11 Volumes 11 DECEMBER 5, 2005 12 13 14 THE HONORABLE JOSEPH B. DAILEY, PRESIDING JUDGE 15 APPEARANCES FOR THE STATE: 16 BETSY CARNESALE AND AMY WEIRICH 17 Assistant District Attorneys General 18 District Attorney General's Office 201 Poplar Avenue - Third Floor Memphis, TN 38103 19 20 FOR THE DEFENDANT: J. BAILEY AND WALTER BAILEY 21 Attorneys at Law 100 North Main - Suite 3002 22 Memphis, TN 38103 23 24 Reported by: Katherine Knowles 25 NOV 0 2 2006



Clerk of the Courte

Court Reporter



1	TABLE OF CONTENTS	
2	VOLUME ONE	
3		PAGE
4	Appearances	1
5	Table of Contents	2
6	List of Exhibits	9
7	Style and Caption	13
8	Monday, December 5, 2005	
9	Pre-trial Motions	14
10	Voir Dire Examination and Jury Selection	25
11	(VOLUME TWO)	-
12	Tuesday, December 6, 2005	
13	VERN BRASWELL	
14	Voir Dire Examination (By Mr. J. Bailey)	185
15	Jury Sworn	187
16	Reading of Indictment and Entry of Plea	187
17	Opening Statements (By Ms. Weirich)	187
18	Opening Statements (By Mr. W. Bailey)	192
19	STATE'S PROOF	
20	PEARLINE WASHBURN	
21	Direct Examination (By Ms. Weirich)	199
22	ANGELA SNYDER	
23	Direct Examination (By Ms. Weirich)	227
24	JESSICA GREEN	
25	Direct Examination (By Ms. Weirich)	236

1		Í
1	TABLE OF CONTENTS	
2	VOLUME ONE	
3		PAGE
4	ROOSEVELT COLEMAN	
5	Direct Examination (By Ms. Weirich)	243 247
6	Cross-Examination (By Mr. J. Bailey)	247
7	LIEUTENANT JACKSON	
8	Direct Examination (By Ms. Carnesale) Cross-Examination (By Mr. J. Bailey)	250 264
9	Redirect Examination (By Ms. Carnesale)	270
10	BABA TANZY	
11	Direct Examination (By Ms. Carnesale) Cross-Examination (By Mr. W. Bailey)	271 283
12	Clobs-Examinación (by Mr. W. Balley)	205
13	MATT HAMM	
14	Direct Examination (By Ms. Carnesale) Cross-Examination (By Mr. W. Bailey)	285 293
15	Redirect Examination (By Ms. Carnesale)	295
16	OFFICER GALLOWAY	
17	Direct Examination (By Ms. Weirich) Cross-Examination (By Mr. J. Bailey)	296 308
18	cross mammaten (b, nr. et barre)	500
19	SERGEANT KJELLIN	
20	Direct Examination (By Ms. Carnesale) Cross-Examination (By Mr. J. Bailey)	313 323
21	Redirect Examination (By Ms. Carnesale)	328
22	SERGEANT MERRITT	
23	Direct Examination (By Ms. Weirich)	328
24		ž.
25		

1	TABLE OF CONTENTS	
2	VOLUME ONE	
3		PAGE
4	LIEUTENANT MILLER	
5	Direct Examination (By Ms. Weirich)	362 368
6	Cross-Examination (By Mr. W. Bailey) Redirect Examination (By Ms. Weirich)	369
7	(VOLUME THREE)	
8	Wednesday, December 7, 2005	
9	DARRELL BURTON	
10	Direct Examination (By Ms. Carnesale)	384 389
11	Cross-Examination (By Mr. J. Bailey)	369
12	JERRY BROWN	
13	Direct Examination (By Ms. Carnesale) Cross-Examination (By Mr. J. Bailey)	390 395
14	Cross-Examination (by Mr. U. Barrey)	د ر د
15	BENJANETTE STURDEVANT	
16	Direct Examination (By Ms. Carnesale)	396 403
17	Cross-Examination (By Mr. J. Bailey)	403
18	LIEUTENANT MITCHELL	
19	Direct Examination (By Ms. Carnesale)	407 414
20	Cross-Examination (By Mr. J. Bailey)	414
21	Jury-Out Hearing	419
22	OFFICER FAIR	
23	Direct Examination (By Ms. Weirich)	424 432
24	Cross-Examination (By Mr. W. Bailey) Redirect Examination (By Ms. Weirich)	434
25		

		Ì
1	TABLE OF CONTENTS	8
2	VOLUME ONE	
3		PAGE
4	CAROLYN CHAMBERS	
5	Direct Examination (By Ms. Weirich)	435
6	OFFICER WALLS	
7	Direct Examination (By Ms. Carnesale)	444
8	Jury-Out Hearing	
9	OFFICER WALLS	
10	Direct Examination (By Ms. Carnesale) Cross-Examination (By Mr. J. Bailey)	448 450
11	Clobb Examinación (b) in. o. barro,	
12	OFFICER WALLS	
13	Direct Examination Continued (By Ms. Carnesale) Cross-Examination (By Mr. W. Bailey)	451 455
14	WILLIAM MANGUM Direct Examination (By Ms. Weirich)	457
15	Cross-Examination (By Mr. J. Bailey) Redirect Examination (By Ms. Weirich)	468 475
16	Recross Examination (By Mr. J. Bailey)	476
17		
18	DOCTOR CARTER	φ.
19	Direct Examination (By Ms. Carnesale) Cross-Examination (By Mr. W. Bailey)	478 518
20	Redirect Examination (By Ms. Carnesale)	542
21	(VOLUME FOUR)	
22	Thursday, December 8, 2005	
23	KRISTIE WOODS	
24	Direct Examination (By Ms. Weirich) Cross-Examination (By Mr. J. Bailey)	563 618
25	Redirect Examination (By Ms. Weirich)	629

1	TABLE OF CONTENTS	
2	VOLUME ONE	
3		PAGE
4	Jury-Out Hearing	
5	VERA COLE	
6	Direct Examination (By Ms. Weirich) Cross-Examination (By Mr. W. Bailey)	633 637
7	Cross-Examinación (by Mr. W. Barrey)	037
8	MAGRA HARDEN	
9	Direct Examination (By Ms. Weirich) Cross-Examination (By Mr. W. Bailey)	640 645
10	Closs-Examinación (by Mr. W. Balley)	013
11	Court's Ruling	653
12	KRISTIE WOODS	
13	Redirect Examination Continued (By Ms. Weirich) Recross Examination (By Mr. J. Bailey)	658 660
14	Further Redirect Examination (By Ms. Weirich)	662
15	SHERONDA SMITH	
16	Direct Examination (By Ms. Carnesale) Cross-Examination (By Mr. J. Bailey)	667 678
17	Closs-Examinación (by Mr. 0. Barrey)	070
18	VERA COLE	
19	Direct Examination (By Ms. Weirich) Cross-Examination (By Mr. J. Bailey)	682 690
20	Redirect Examination (By Mr. Weirich) Recross Examination (By Mr. J. Bailey)	693 695
21	Nectobs Examinaction (by Mr. 0. barrey)	
22	MAGRA HARDEN	
23	Direct Examination (By Ms. Weirich) Cross-Examination (By Mr. W. Bailey)	698 703
24	Closs-Examinación (by Mr. W. Barrey)	703
25	Motion for Judgment of Acquittal	706

1	TABLE OF CONTENTS				
2	VOLUME ONE				
3		PAGE			
4	State Rests	709			
5	(VOLUME FIVE)				
6	DEFENSE'S PROOF				
7	GLEN WRIGHT				
8	Direct Examination (By Mr. J. Bailey)	722			
9	Cross-Examination (By Ms. Weirich)	729			
10	VERN BRASWELL				
11	Direct Examination (By Mr. J. Bailey)	730 788			
12	Cross-Examination (By Ms. Weirich)	700			
13	DOCTOR SCHWARTZ				
14	Direct Examination (By Mr. W. Bailey)	816			
15	Jury-Out Hearing				
16	DOCTOR SCHWARTZ				
17	Direct Examination (By Mr. W. Bailey)	826			
18	Cross-Examination (By Ms. Carnesale) Redirect Examination (By Mr. W. Bailey)	827 829			
19	Court's Ruling	835			
20	DOCTOR SCHWARTZ				
21	Direct Examination Continued (By Mr. W. Bailey)	838			
22	Jury-Out Hearing	843			
23	DOCTOR SCHWARTZ				
24	Direct Examination Continued (By Mr. W. Bailey)	846			
25	Cross-Examination (By Ms. Carnesale) Redirect Examination (By Mr. W. Bailey) Recross Examination (By Ms. Carnesale)	847 858 858			

1	TABLE OF CONTENTS	
2	VOLUME ONE	
3		PAGE
4	(VOLUME SIX)	
5	Friday, December 9, 2005	
6	Motion for Judgment of Acquittal	872
7	Jury Charge Discussion	872
8	Defense Rests	873
9	Closing Arguments (By Ms. Carnesale)	873
10	Closing Arguments (By Mr. W. Bailey)	884
11	Closing Arguments (By Ms. Weirich)	899
12	Jury Charge	926
13	Alternates Excused	941
14	Jury Questions	942
15	Verdict	945
16		
17	Certificate of Reporter	948
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1			INDEX OF EXHI	BITS	
2					
3	NO.	STATE/DEFENSE	ID/EVIDENCE	TO/DESCRIPTION	PAGE
4	1	State	Evidence	P. Washburn, photo	202
5	2	State	Evidence	P. Washburn, photo	207
6	3	State	ID	P. Washburn, photos	312
7	3	State	Evidence	Officer Walls, photos	452
8	4	State	Evidence	P. Washburn,	217
9				check and letter	
10	5	State	Evidence	P. Washburn, money	218
11	6	State	ID	P. Washburn, note	218
12	6	State	Evidence	K. Woods, note	617
13	7	State	ID	P. Washburn, document	219
14	7	State	Evidence	W. Mangum, document	467
15	8	State	ID	P. Washburn, document	219
16	8	State	Evidence	W. Mangum, document	466
17	9	State	ID	P. Washburn, document	219
18	9	State	ID	W. Mangum, document	466
19	10	State	Evidence	A. Snyder, photo	235
20	11	State	Evidence	J. Green, tape	241
21	12	State	Evidence	R. Coleman, report	245
22	13	State	Evidence	Lt. Jackson, sketch	259
23	14	State	Evidence	B. Tanzy, photo	278
24	15	State	Evidence	B. Tanzy, photo	279
25					
	ki .				

Ï					1
1			INDEX OF EXH	TRITS	
2			INDUM OF HAME		
3	NO.	STATE/DEFENSE	ID/EVIDENCE	TO/DESCRIPTION	PAGE
4	16	State	Evidence	Officer Galloway,	298
5				crime scene sketch	
6	17	State	Evidence	Officer Galloway,	304
7				photo	
8	18	State	Evidence	Officer Galloway,	304
9				photo	
10	19	State	Evidence	Officer Galloway,	304
11				photo	
12	20	State	Evidence	Officer Galloway,	304
13				photo	
14	21	State	Evidence	Officer Galloway,	304
15				photo	
16	22	State	Evidence	Officer Galloway,	304
17				photo	
18	23	State	Evidence	Officer Galloway,	304
19				photo	
20	24	State	Evidence	Officer Galloway,	304
21				photo	
22	25	State	Evidence	Officer Galloway,	308
23				two bottles	
24	26	State	Evidence	Sgt. Merritt,	335
25				Advice of Rights for	cm

1			INDEX OF EXHI	IBITS	
2					
3	NO.	STATE/DEFENSE	ID/EVIDENCE	TO/DESCRIPTION I	PAGE
4	27	State	Evidence	Sgt. Merritt, CD	358
5	28	State	Evidence	Lt. Miller, statement	365
6	29	State	Evidence	J. Brown, phone record	391
7	30	State	Evidence	B. Sturdevant,	397
8				phone records	
9	31	State	Evidence	Dr. Carter, photo	483
10	32	State	Evidence	Dr. Carter, photo	485
11	33	State	Evidence	Dr. Carter, photos	489
12	34	State	Evidence	Dr. Carter, photos	489
13	35	State	Evidence	Dr. Carter, photo	491
14	36	State	Evidence	Dr. Carter, necklace	492
15	37	State	Evidence	Dr. Carter, photo	495
16	38	State	Evidence	Dr. Carter, photo	497
17	39	State	Evidence	Dr. Carter, photo	498
18	40	State	Evidence	Dr. Carter, photo	499
19	41	State	Evidence	Dr. Carter, photo	503
20	42	State	Evidence	Dr. Carter, photo	504
21	43	State	Evidence	Dr. Carter, photo	511
22	44	State	Evidence	Dr. Carter, photo	513
23	45	Defense	Evidence	Dr. Carter, photo	525
24	46	State	ID	K. Woods, earrings	618
25	46	State	Evidence	S. Smith, earrings	676

1			INDEX OF EXHI	BITS	
2					
3	NO.	STATE/DEFENSE	ID/EVIDENCE	TO/DESCRIPTION PA	AGE
4	47	Defense	ID	V. Braswell, vibrator	771
5	47	Defense	Evidence	V. Braswell, vibrator	777
6	48	Defense	ID	V. Braswell, butterfly	772
7	48	Defense	Evidence	V. Braswell, butterfly	777
8	49	Defense	ID	V. Braswell, bullet	772
9	49	Defense	Evidence	V. Braswell, bullet	777
10	50	Defense	ID	V. Braswell, Nubby G	773
11	50	Defense	Evidence	V. Braswell, Nubby G	777
12	51	Defense	Evidence	V. Braswell, whips	777
13	52	Defense	Evidence	V. Braswell, bullet	777
14	53	State	Evidence	V. Braswell, photo	805
15	54	State	Evidence	V. Braswell, photo	805
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					

THE COURT: Are the lawyers outside? 1 DEPUTY THOMPSON: Both the Baileys are back there 2 with their client. 3 THE COURT: Bring the three in, please. Bring in 4 the jury, please. 5 (Jury present.) 6 THE COURT: Good morning, ladies and gentlemen. 7 We are ready to resume the trial at this time. Ms. Carnesale, 8 you may call your next witness. 9 MS. CARNESALE: Thank you, Your Honor. 10 calls Darrell Burton. 11 12 DARRELL BURTON 13 called as a witness, being first duly sworn, was examined and 14 testified as follows: 15 DIRECT EXAMINATION 16 17 BY MS. CARNESALE: Good morning. Will you please state and spell your 18 name for the record? 19 Robert D. Burton. R-O-B-E-R-T D. B-U-R-T-O-N. 20 Α You go by Darrell Burton? 21 Q Yes, ma'am. 22 Α Where are you employed, Mr. Burton? 23 Q Watson's Pools and Spas. Α 24 What do you do for Watson's Pools and Spas? Q 25

Service manager. 1 Α And how long have you worked for Watson's? 2 0 13 years. Α 3 What is Watson's? 0 4 We sell leisure products, hot tubs, spas, saunas, Α 5 tanning beds, patio furniture. 6 And do you have any specific training for your position 7 Q as service manager? 8 9 Α Yes. What is that training? 10 In electronics, all aspects of plumbing on hot tubs and Α 11 baths, anything that has to do with water flow. 12 And have you served as service manager for the 13 years 13 Q you've worked at Watson's? 14 Since 1994. 15 Α Before that did you work in this area as well? 16 I was in the military for ten years. 17 Now specifically, I have questions for you about home 18 Q baths, bathtubs. 19 20 Α Sure. Are you familiar with those as well as the hot tubs and 21 Q spas that you sell through Watson's? 22 23 Α Yes, ma'am. Could you explain to the jury how a bathtub operates, 24

where the water flow comes from?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The difference between a hot tub and a Α Yes, ma'am. bathtub, the water flow from a jacuzzi bathtub that's into your house is induced by your own self. The water comes from The heat from the water is depending on a hot water heater. how hot you put the water in there, and most of your hot water heaters are regulated by the government to be set at 120 degrees, which you're going to lose 15 to 20 degrees by the time it goes through all your plumbing and reaches the bathtub. Once you put the hot water into the bathtub and you turn the jets on to the tub, what has happened there, you are inducing air into the water so it's going to cool the water at a rapid rate. Usually, the amount of hot water that you can put into a bathtub and that a person can stand and once the jets are turned on, usually within 15 to 20 minutes, you need to turn the hot water back on to warm it back up because it cools off very quickly.

Q So if I understand you correctly, the hot water heater that you have in your house heats to about 120 degrees?

A 120 degrees. Most of the plumbers that you talk to will set them at about 140 degrees. The time the water actually reaches you, it can be anywhere from 95 degrees to 110 degrees. So you could use, you know, 15 to 25 degree water and heat loss before it gets to the tub.

Q Just because it flows through your pipes?

A Right and going through your foundation, it could be in

the attic. They set them in various places in your home.

- Q Okay. And you mentioned a jacuzzi-type style bathtub; correct?
 - A Correct.

- Q I'm going to pass forward what was previously marked State's Exhibit 22. Well, let me actually show you Exhibit No. 2. Is that a jacuzzi-style home bathtub?
 - A Yes, ma'am, it is.
- Q We know that because we can see the jets in the bathtub?
- A Correct.
 - Q And is that the type of bathtub that you said the water typically would be between 95 and I believe you said 110?
 - A Correct, and it can cool off very quickly.
 - Q Why is that that it cools off, the water cools off quickly?

A The way a hot tub or a bathtub works that's set up as a jacuzzi, as you know, they have different series of jets into them. And they always have what we call an air venture on them that opens and closes whether it's on the top of the tub or on the inside of the tub. And when you open that air venture, what it does is water is forced through that jet. It creates a suction and makes the outside air push through the jet, which gives you the therapy action, the more strong water moving. So the air that's outside and most of the air

ventures that are piped, even in this situation right here, the pipe is ran up underneath the hot tub so it's even cooler under there than it is in the room so when the jets are turned on, you're pulling the outside air through the jet and it's making the water cool down at a rapid rate.

Q Based on your experience, you would estimate after

- Q Based on your experience, you would estimate after about 15 minutes the water cools?
 - A Or sooner.

- Q Do you have any idea what -- by what degree it cools off over time?
- A It -- that really depends on the temperature of the water that was put in by the person. And say if it was 110 degrees when they put it in there, the water within 15 or 20 minutes would probably be down to 90 degrees or below 90 degrees.
- Q If it were a two-hour period of time, what change in temperature would you expect to see based on your experience?
 - A Jets left on or off?
- Q Well, let's say jets left on.
- 20 A It would be cold enough that you wouldn't want to be in the water.
 - Q And say the jets were off.
 - A Probably in the 80-degree range or lower.
 - MS. CARNESALE: Thank you, sir. I'll pass the witness.

THE COURT: Mr. Bailey. 1 2 CROSS-EXAMINATION 3 BY MR. J. BAILEY: 4 Mr. Burton, so am I'm correct, that if the jets are not 5 on, the water cools at a slower pace; is that correct? 6 That is correct. Α 7 And likewise, am I correct that the -- you don't know 8 what temperature the -- in this case we're talking about, the 9 house over on Creekside, you have no idea what temperature the 10 water was coming out of that water tank, do you? 11 That is correct. 12 You have not examined the water tank in that -- hot 13 water in what we call a hot water heater here in the south. 14 You have not examined that tank, have you? 15 No, sir. 16 Α In fact, nor have you actually seen, other than on that 17 picture, the jacuzzi that was in that home, have you? 18 That is correct. 19 Α MR. J. BAILEY: No further questions. 20 MS. CARNESALE: No redirect. 21 THE COURT: You may step down. Thank you, sir. 22 Call your next witness. 23 MS. CARNESALE: Jerry Brown. 24

JERRY BROWN 1 called as a witness, being first duly sworn, was examined and 2 testified as follows: 3 DIRECT EXAMINATION 4 BY MS. CARNESALE: 5 6 Q Good morning, sir. 7 Α Good morning. Will you state and spell your name for the record? 8 Q Jerry Brown. J-E-R-R-Y B-R-O-W-N. Α 9 Where are you employed, Mr. Brown? 10 0 Bellsouth. 11 Α And what is Bellsouth for those of us who may --12 Q Bellsouth, local long-distance phone company. 13 Α What is your occupation with Bellsouth? 14 Q I'm the corporate security manager for West Tennessee. 15 Α I investigate all corporate related matters, any matters like 16 17 I appear before the court as a representative and 18 custodian of records. 19 MR. J. BAILEY: Your Honor, we will accept him as 20 keeper of the records. Now, Mr. Brown, you are keeper of records, in other 21 words you maintain records that relate to the business of 22 23 Bellsouth; is that correct? 24 Α Correct. Did I ask you today to bring you -- for you to bring 25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
some records that belong to one Vern Braswell?
 A
      Yes.
      And what is Mr. Braswell's home phone number or what
was it, rather, in November 2004?
       901-624-5176.
       And did you indeed bring records that relate
  0
specifically to the dates of November 4th and November 5th,
2004, belonging to that subscriber number?
  Α
       Yes.
            MS. CARNESALE: Your Honor, at this time we'd ask
they be marked the next State's Exhibit.
            THE COURT: Exhibit 29.
            (Exhibit No. 29 was marked and filed.)
            MS. CARNESALE: Your Honor, may we publish this to
the jury at this time?
            THE COURT: You may. The whole folder?
            MS. CARNESALE: I'm going to place it on the
screen, if I may.
            THE COURT: Sure.
       And, Mr. Brown, I'm going to ask you to assist me if
  Q
you would.
       Okay.
  Α
            MS. CARNESALE: With the Court's permission, may
he step down?
            THE COURT: Sure.
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q

I'm going to stand over here and look at my copy. you would look at your copy. Are these the records that we were just discussing that relate to the home number of Vern Braswell? Α They are. Now I'm going to ask you specifically to look at the date of November 5th, 2004. What times were calls beginning to be made from that number, if you would tell the jury starting on the date of November 5th? Okay. The first call made to that number was at 4:14 Α a.m. Now was that number --0 Excuse me. 4:05 a.m. Α The first call was at 4:05 and 53 seconds? Q Α Correct. Who -- was that call placed out from the 624-5176 or was it received? 624-5176 received a call at 4:05 a.m. from 901-753-1562. Now the 624 number is Mr. Vern Braswell's home phone number; is that correct? Correct. Α How do we know he received the phone -- the call? Q The records indicate that call was answered. Α

Okay. My question is on the records it shows "call

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

number." Is that what that means, someone called that phone number? Correct. Α And if you could, pull down the records just a little bit to show that heading, see where it says "call number" at the top. And then "calling number," what does that mean? Calling number is the number that's placing the call. Α So at 4:05 Vern Braswell's home received a phone call? 0 That's correct. Α And how long did that phone call last? Q 15.3 seconds. Α And the telephone was answered; is that correct? Q That's correct. Α And that's what that means under "answered" when it 0 said "yes"? Yes. Α And "call type," which is the final column -- if you can move that over just a little to show the jury. When it says "call type," what does that mean? Does that just refer to a billing? The 001 means detailed message rate, time with MBI, most of the time that's billing related. Okay. Just so we'll understand what that is. Q specifically, I want to ask you about certain phone calls at

4:14 a.m. Do you see a phone call that was made by the home

```
phone number of Vern Braswell?
1
2
        Α
             Yes.
             What number was called?
        0
3
             901-373-3883.
        Α
             And how long did the phone call last?
        Q
5
             One minute and 28.9 seconds.
        Α
6
             At 4:33 a.m., was a phone call placed from the home of
 7
        0
      Vern Braswell?
8
             Yes, it was.
        Α
9
             What number was called?
10
        0
             901-737-6100.
11
        Α
             How long did that phone call last?
12
        Q
             3.9 seconds.
13
        Α
             4:34 a.m. was a call made from the home phone number of
14
      Vern Braswell?
15
             Yes. Placed to 901-367-2961.
16
             And how long did that call last?
17
             Lasted one minute and 35.9 seconds.
18
        Α
                   MS. CARNESALE: Your Honor, if we may have just
19
      one moment.
20
              Okay. Mr. Brown, you may take your seat. I just have
21
      a general question for you. If a 911 call had been placed
22
       from that home phone number, would that appear on these
23
24
      Bellsouth records?
              A 911 call?
25
         Α
```

Yes, sir. 1 Q It should. Call detail records, there's a possibility 2 that calls can come through that don't show up on them, but 3 generally they pick up all calls. 4 But are the 911 calls tracked by a different computer 5 Q system than the normal calls placed from that number? 6 No, they're all tracked by the same system. 7 Α Thank you. 0 8 MS. CARNESALE: Your Honor, may I approach and 9 10 remove the exhibit? THE COURT: You may. 11 I'm going to use them on cross. MR. J. BAILEY: 12 MS. CARNESALE: I have no further questions. 13 14 THE COURT: Mr. Bailey. 15 16 CROSS-EXAMINATION 17 BY MR. J. BAILEY: Mr. Brown, now -- so let me get an understanding here. 18 If 911 were to show that a call was placed at 3:57 from 19 624-5176, a Bellsouth number, this exact same phone that your 20 records are related to or correspond with, you're not sure 21 whether they should show or what is it? 22 23 Α No, they should show. Is there any reason why it would not show? 24 There is a possibility that calls don't get captured in 25

1 the switch. It is possible. Okay. All right. Likewise, the numbers that you were 2 asked about just now, the call at 4:05, did you -- were you 3 asked to find out who that call was placed to? 4 The records were subpoenaed, and this is a result 5 Α of the subpoena. This is what the system generated. 6 And what about the second number? I'm not going to 7 0 8 take you through each one. You didn't check for the caller on 9 the other end for any of these calls, did you? 10 Α No. You don't know who they are? 11 0 (Witness shook head left to right.) Α 12 MR. J. BAILEY: No further questions. 13 THE COURT: Any redirect? 14 15 MS. CARNESALE: No redirect. Thank you. 16 THE COURT: You may step down. Call your next 17 witness. MS. CARNESALE: State calls Benjanette Sturdevant. 18 19 20 BENJANETTE STURDEVANT called as a witness, being first duly sworn, was examined and 21 22 testified as follows: 23 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. CARNESALE: 24 Good morning, ma'am. 25

Good morning. 1 Α Will you please state and spell your name for the 2 record? 3 Sure. Benjanette Sturdevant. B-E-N-J-A-N-E-T-T-E. Α 4 Sturdevant, S-T-U-R-D-E-V-A-N-T. 5 Where are you employed, Ms. Sturdevant? Q 6 Nextel Communications. Α 7 And what is Nextel Communications? 0 8 Telecommunications company. We sell cellular phones. Α 9 And what do you do for Nextel? 10 0 I am a strategic care specialist. I deal with the Α 11 bill, go over the bill, make changes on the account. 12 details of bills of all customers nationwide. 13 MR. J. BAILEY: Your Honor, we will accept her as 14 keeper of the records. 15 Ms. Sturdevant, did I ask you to bring the Nextel 16 records or do you have a copy of the Nextel records with you 17 belonging to Vern Braswell whose Nextel number was 331-4313? 18 Α Yes. 19 MS. CARNESALE: Your Honor, we'd ask that those 20 records be introduced as the next exhibit. 21 MR. J. BAILEY: No objection. 22 THE COURT: Exhibit 30. 23 (Exhibit No. 30 was marked and filed.) 24 MS. CARNESALE: Your Honor, may I approach and 25

publish these to the jury? 1 THE COURT: You may. 2 Ms. Sturdevant, if you would, I'm going to ask you to 3 step down and place these on the equipment so the jury can 4 look at them, and then ask you to review them with the jury so 5 we can explain what we're looking at. It's a little hard to 6 read but if you would, and feel free to move it around if you 7 need to as we discuss this. Basically, what are these records 8 9 in general? The detailed billing for cell phone number 10 901-331-4313. 11 And I see the heading. The jury may not be able to 12 read it but it says "Customer PTN" above that number. What 13 does "PTN" mean. 14 Personal Telephone Number. 15 Α And then we have the date. And obviously that's 16 self-explanatory. It then says "call initiated." Is that the 17 time that each call was begun? 18 Yes. 19 Α "Duration." What does that mean? 20 How long the call lasted. 21 Α And is that measured in seconds or minutes? 22 Q Seconds. 23 Α And then it says "type." What does that mean? 24 Q

Whether it was an in-bound call or an out-bound call.

25

Α

What would an in-bound call mean? Q 1 In-bound call means a call that was called to the cell 2 Α phone. 3 And out-bound would be a call placed from the cell Q 4 phone? 5 From the cell phone, yes. 6 And then the final heading is "caller call PTN." What 7 does that mean? 8 That's the number that was called from the cell phone 9 Α or to the cell phone. 10 In the case of an in-bound call? 1.1 Right. 12 Now I want to refer specifically to a certain date 13 November 5th, 2004. Do you see calls placed on that date 14 within those records? 15 Yes. 16 Α I'd like to refer to the first time of 3:55:57. Do you 17 see it? 18 What page are you on? 19 Α On November 5, '04, 3:55:57 on page seven. 20 I have it. 21 Α Okay. And if you would, pull it down a little so it 22 Q displays on the screen. There we go. 3:55:57, I believe it's 23 third from the top on November 5th, 2004? 24

Yes, the third call from the top.

Α

```
Okay. What number was called by the cell phone number
1
        Q
      of Vern Braswell at that time?
2
             901-737-6100.
3
        Α
             And it was out-bound, which means he placed the call or
        Q
4
      the cell phone placed the call?
5
             Exactly. It was placed from the cell phone.
6
        Α
             And what was the duration?
7
        Q
             The duration was 12 seconds.
        Α
8
             Now again, do you see a call at 3:56:47?
9
        Q
                    The number right before the previous call.
10
        Α
             Okay. Who made the call? What number made the call?
11
        Q
             It was out-bound from the same cell phone number,
12
      901-331-4313.
13
             What number did it call?
14
        0
              The 901-737-6100.
        Α
15
             How long did it last?
16
        Q
              Three seconds.
17
        Α
              Do you see a call at 3:57:17?
18
        Q
              Yes.
        Α
19
              Was it in-bound or out-bound from the cell phone?
20
         Q
              It was out-bound also.
21
         Α
              What number was called?
22
         Q
              901-737-6100.
         Α
23
              How long did it last?
24
         Q
              Four seconds.
25
         Α
```

```
You may have to turn the page. Do you see a call at
1
        Q
      3:58:01 on November 5th, 2004?
2
3
        Α
             3:58.
             I believe it should be page six.
        Q
4
             Yes. The last call from the bottom.
        Α
5
             Now at 3:58:01 was this an in-bound or out-bound call?
        Q
6
             Out-bound.
        A
7
             What number was called?
        0
8
9
        Α
             901-484-8568.
             How long did it last?
10
        Q
             Two seconds.
11
        Α
             3:58:31 was a call made?
12
        Q
             Out-bound to 901-737-6100, four seconds.
13
             3:59:23?
14
        Q
             That was an out-bound 901-737-6100, lasted seven
15
        Α
      seconds.
16
17
        Q
             3:59:57?
              Same number, out-bound call 901-737-6100, lasted 13
18
      seconds.
19
              Four o'clock and 37 seconds?
20
        Q
              Same number out-bound call to 901-737-6100, 12 seconds.
         Α
21
              4:01:26?
         Q
22
              Same number, 901-737-6100, out-bound call lasted four
23
         Α
       seconds.
24
              And 4:01:44?
         0
25
```

```
Out-bound call made to 901-553-7044. That may have
        Α
1
      been a hangup. It was 0 seconds.
2
             If you place a call and immediately hang up, does it
3
      register even if there weren't any seconds?
4
             Right. It will register the number but not the
5
        Α
      seconds.
6
             Now at 4:03:15 was a call placed?
7
        Q
             Out-bound call phone number 901-737-6100, lasted ten
        Α
8
      seconds.
 9
             4:06:38?
10
        Q
             Out-bound 901-737-6100, lasted three seconds.
        Α
11
12
        Q
             4:07:30?
             901-737-6100 out-bound call, lasted seven seconds.
13
        Α
             4:08:05?
14
        O.
             Out-bound call 901-737-6100, lasted 11 seconds.
15
        Α
              4:08:21?
16
        0
             Out-bound call 901-737-6100, 0 seconds.
17
        Α
              So again that would indicate a hangup?
18
        Q
        Α
              Right.
19
              4:12:01?
20
        Q
              Out-bound 901-737-6100, 15 seconds.
21
        Α
              At 4:13:39?
22
         Q
              It was out-bound 901-737-6100, six seconds.
         Α
23
              4:36:21?
24
         Q
              Out-bound 901-737-6100, lasted four seconds.
```

Α

4:37:03? Q 1 901-484-8568 out-bound call, four seconds. 2 4:37:53? 3 Q 901-553-7044 out-bound, six seconds. Α 4 4:38:28? 5 Q Out-bound call 901-737-6100, five seconds. Α 6 4:40:08? Q 7 Out-bound call to 901-491-1623, lasted 647 seconds. Α 8 And then if you would look at 6:20:47? 9 Q Okay. It's in-bound call to 901 -- from 901-484-8568, Α 10 lasted 133 seconds. 11 Ms. Sturdevant, you may retake your seat. Thank you 12 very much. 13 MS. CARNESALE: Your Honor, I pass the witness. 14 15 CROSS-EXAMINATION 16 BY MR. J. BAILEY: 17 Ms. Sturdevant, let me get you to go back to the what 18 we call a DOAR but the projector and look back down at --19 let's start at -- now you started at 3:57 or actually you 20 started at 3:55. But go down to 3:57 a.m. on the 5th, 21 November 5th. Now we skipped over some numbers, didn't we? 22 Did we not? 23 3:57? A 24 Yeah, look at 3:58. Read the number that was called at 25 Q

```
3:58?
1
        Α
             901-484-8568.
2
             Okay. And then at 3:58:41?
        Q
3
             901-299-4527.
        Α
4
             And go up to 4:01. Well -- no, go to 4:01:44.
        Q
5
             The out-bound call to 901-553-7044.
        Α
6
             And look at 4:14:22?
7
        Q
             Out-bound to 901-458-0958.
8
        Α
             Okay. So there were some calls that you weren't asked
9
        0
      about in that list; is that correct, that you just read off?
10
        Α
11
             Yes.
             And you have not determined -- were you asked to
12
      determine -- did you bring any records with you that would
13
      determine who those people were that were called?
14
             No.
        Α
15
             Do you even have that ability?
16
17
        Α
             No.
             All right. And now you can retake your seat. I want
18
        Q
      you to -- tell me what day -- the records that you brought
19
      with you, tell me exactly what date and what time they start
20
      with?
21
              They start with -- well, it goes from November 5th to
22
      November 3rd, 2004.
23
              So you have records going back to November 3rd; is that
24
25
       correct?
```

Yes. Α 1 And how often do you get an opportunity to look at 2 Q phone records, not just these but anybody's? 3 Everyday. Α 4 Okay. Would you say that these are -- whoever has this 5 0 phone or whoever this phone is assigned to makes a lot of 6 calls? 7 Α Yes. 8 I mean, it's not just some -- that morning of November 9 Q 5th is not unusual for this person, is it? They make a lot of 10 calls, don't they? 11 12 Yes, they do. Α All right. In fact, let's just start with November 13 Q Give me some idea, if you can just in one day, I want 14 you to count the number of phone calls made on that day. 15 36. 16 Α And that's -- what time does it start on that page and 17 Q what time does it end? 18 Starts at 6:55 a.m. and ends at 8:24 p.m. 19 Α Okay. A lot of calls, huh? 20 Q Yes. 21 Α And some of those calls, they talk for quite a bit of 22 Q time, don't they? 23 Yes. 24 Α

All right. Look at November 4th.

25

Q

Α Okay. 1 On November 4th, calls start at what time, 6:45 a.m. 2 Is that correct? 3 Α Yes. 4 And there are quite a few calls, aren't there, all Q 5 during the day and night; isn't that correct? 6 Yes. Α 7 In fact, they go all the way up to, I think on November 0 8 4th, they go up to 10 o'clock -- almost 11 o'clock, 10:57? 9 10:57, yes. 10 Α So this person makes these calls quite -- makes a lot 11 of calls; is that correct? 12 Yes. 13 Α A talkative person, huh? 14 Yes. 15 Α Appears to be at least; is that right? 16 Q Yes. Looking at the detail, yes. 17 Α MR. J. BAILEY: No further questions. 18 MS. CARNESALE: No redirect. Thank you, Ms. 19 Sturdevant. 20 THE COURT: You may step down. Just leave the 21 records there if you would, please. Call your next witness. 22 MS. CARNESALE: Lieutenant Mitchell. 23 24

LIEUTENANT MITCHELL 1 called as a witness, being first duly sworn, was examined and 2 testified as follows: 3 DIRECT EXAMINATION 4 BY MS. CARNESALE: 5 Good morning, sir. 6 Q Α Good morning. 7 Will you please state and spell your name for the 8 Q record? 9 John E. Mitchell. J-O-H-N. E, middle initial. 10 Α Mitchell, M-I-T-C-H-E-L-L. 11 Where are you employed, Mr. Mitchell? 12 Q City of Memphis Police Department. Α 13 What rank do you currently hold? Q 14 Lieutenant. Α 15 Where are you currently assigned? 16 Q Officer in the schools. 17 Α Officer in the schools? 18 Q Yes, ma'am. Α 19 What school are you assigned to? 20 Q I supervise all the men and women that are assigned to 21 Α a call. 22 And how long have you been a Memphis Police Officer? 23 Q 22 years in a couple months. 24 Α Do you know the defendant in this matter, Vern 25 Q

```
Braswell?
1
             Yes, I do.
2
        Α
             Do you see him in the courtroom?
3
             Yes, he's -- I couldn't see him behind the attorney
4
      over here.
5
                  MS. CARNESALE: Your Honor, may the record reflect
6
      he's --
7
                  THE COURT: Sure.
8
9
        Α
             Yes, he's behind the attorney.
             How long have you known Vern Braswell?
10
        Q
             That's a good question. Five plus years, probably.
11
             How do you know him?
12
        Q
             Fraternity, Omega Psi Phi Fraternity, Incorporated.
13
        Α
             And this is a professional fraternity?
14
        Q
             Yes, it is.
        Α
15
             Did you socialize with Mr. Braswell through this
16
17
      fraternity?
             Yes, I did.
18
        Α
             How would you characterize the relationship between the
19
      two of you?
20
              Th two of us, we come in contact during meetings, see
21
      him every now and then while we are out riding our
22
      motorcycles. We might end up at the same location but besides
23
       that, that's the only way I know him.
24
```

Okay. And in 2004, how often would you speak with Mr.

25

Q

```
Vern Braswell?
1
             2004?
        Α
2
        0
             Yes, last year.
3
             Last -- he was incarcerated last year.
4
        Α
             My question is, you know, before he was incarcerated,
5
        0
      how often would you speak with him? And by that weekly?
6
      Daily? Monthly? Less than that?
7
             Less than that.
8
             Okay. On November -- in November of 2004, what was
        Q
9
      your home telephone number?
10
             901-737-6100.
        Α
11
             And what was your -- did you have a cell phone at that
12
        0
      time?
13
        Α
             Yes, I do.
14
             What was your cell phone number?
15
        Q
              901-484-8568.
16
             On or about -- on -- not about, on November 5th, 2004,
17
        Q
      were you out of town?
18
              Yes, ma'am.
19
        Α
              Where were you?
20
         Q
              Tyler, Texas.
         Α
21
              And did you have occasion to receive telephone calls
22
         0
       from the defendant's home phone number?
2.3
              I received a -- I had several -- I had a missed call
24
       from Brother Braswell. I didn't recognize the number until I
25
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

. 25

```
I noticed that I had several -- I had a missed call.
And since it was -- since I received those calls early in the
morning, I thought it was an emergency so I dialed the number
       I didn't recognize the number.
       Was this an area code 901 ---
  Q
  Α
       Yes.
       624-5176 number?
  0
       Excuse me?
  Α
       Was the number area code 901-624-5176?
  Q
       I could not tell you what the number was but I know I
  Α
had a missed call and I dialed whatever number that was back.
       And when you dialed that number back, whose number was
  Q
it?
       Brother Braswell.
  Α
       Did he answer the telephone?
  Q
       Yes, he did.
  Α
       About what time was this?
  Q
       Five or six in the morning.
  Α
       And you had received a missed call on your cell phone?
  Q
       Correct.
  Α
       Do you recall what time the missed call came in at?
       Between two and three, maybe. It was early morning.
                                                               Ι
  Α
was asleep when the call came through.
       And this came in on your cell phone number; correct?
  A
       Correct.
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Which is 484-8568? 0 Correct. Α Now when you called Mr. Braswell back, did he answer the telephone? Yes, ma'am. Α What did he say? Q He -- he was -- he was distraught. He was crying, and I asked him what was wrong and he said that his wife was dead. What did you say? I asked him to repeat his self because I was kind of Α shocked when I heard him say "dead." I wanted to make sure I heard him correctly. He said my wife is dead. And I said what happened, what's going on? And he said he had been drinking, they made love and he fell asleep and when he woke up, she wasn't in bed. He went to the rest room and found her in the bathtub dead. Is that all he said? Α Yeah. Did he ever say anything to you about accidentally choking his wife? No. Α Did he ever mention the term "erotic asphyxia"? Q No, ma'am. Α Were you -- how did you -- were you surprised when you

got this phone call from Mr. Braswell?

```
Yes, I was. I mean, I called him back.
1
        Α
             Okay. You saw his number and you called him back.
        Q
2
      After you talked to him --
3
             I didn't recognize the number now.
4
             Right. But you dialed it back from the caller ID in
5
        Q
      your cell phone?
6
        Α
             Correct.
7
             And when you called him back and you talked to him,
8
      were you surprised that he had called?
9
             Yes.
10
        Α
             Why?
11
        0
             Why was I surprised that he called?
        Α
12
             Yes, sir.
        Q
13
              I mean -- I take that back. Not surprised that he
14
        Α
      called, but I guess he's reaching out for some -- for some
15
16
      help.
             When was the last --
        Q
17
              Because I'm a brother of his.
18
        Α
              Through the fraternity?
        Q
19
              Right.
20
        Α
              Before November 5th, 2004, when was the last time you
21
      had spoken to him?
22
              Can't say.
23
         Α
              Not any time recent?
24
         Q
```

Oh, you mean after the -- after the 5th?

Α

No, no, no, before the 5th. 1 0 Before the 5th? Α 2 Before you got that -- before you saw that he called 3 and called the number back, when was the last time you had 4 talked with him? 5 Don't remember. Α 6 Would it surprise you to learn that he called both your 0 7 home and cell phone numbers approximately 20 times before you 8 talked to him that morning? 9 That's surprising. I didn't know that. 10 Α Did you know Sheila Braswell his wife? 11 Not really. 12 Α Had you ever met her? Q 13 I believe I met her in passing. 14 Α Did you know a woman named Kristie Woods? 15 Q Who? Α 16 Kristie Woods. 17 Q I'm not good with names. I might recognize her if I 18 saw her by face. 19 Q Okay. 20 MS. CARNESALE: Thank you, Your Honor. Nothing 21 further. 22 THE COURT: Mr. Bailey. 23 24

CROSS-EXAMINATION 1 BY MR. J. BAILEY: 2 Lieutenant Mitchell. 3 Yes, sir. 4 It was your testimony on direct that you weren't 5 surprised that Mr. Braswell called you because you thought 6 that maybe he was reaching out for some help from a brother; 7 is that right? 8 9 Α Correct. Because you're his fraternity brother; is that right? 10 That's correct. 11 Α And also a week before this, approximately a week 12 before this, am I correct that the -- that you toured -- you 13 came and toured the Hanley Elementary School where Mr. 14 Braswell worked; is that correct? 15 I've done that. I don't know if that was in the same 16 week or week before or two weeks before or a month before. I 17 don't know that but I've been to his school, yes, and toured 18 the school. 19 And you knew he was the assistant principal there? 20 Yes. 21 Α And so he -- so you had seen him within a reasonable 22 Q time, short time before this incident; is that correct? 23 MS. WEIRICH: Objection, Your Honor. That's not 24

what he answered to. He said he didn't know when it was.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. J. BAILEY: I'm asking a different question. THE COURT: Well, on the heels of the other It sounded like it was connected to the other one. You can rephrase it if you'd like. MR. J. BAILEY: I'll rephrase it, Your Honor. Thank you. Did you see him within, let's say a month prior to November 4th? I cannot say that or testify to that. I know I have been over to his school on several occasions. I don't know the timespan that I was over there, but I was there at Hanley School and took a tour that he took me to on the tour. don't know when that was. Okay. 0 Okay. I can't hardly remember what I did last week. Thank you, Lieutenant Mitchell. Q MR. J. BAILEY: No further questions. MS. CARNESALE: No redirect. Thank you. THE COURT: All right. MS. CARNESALE: Your Honor, may we approach? THE COURT: Sure. (Bench conference commenced.) MS. WEIRICH: I don't know if our next witness is Could we have a short break? here yet. THE COURT: Sure. That's fine.

(Said bench conference concluded.) 1 THE COURT: All right. Ladies and gentlemen, we 2 will take a short recess at this time. As always, do not 3 discuss the case among yourselves during the recess. 4 (Jury out.) 5 THE COURT: Take him out. We'll stand in recess. 6 (Recess.) 7 THE COURT: Bring in the jury, please. Are y'all 8 ready, Ms. Carnesale? 9 MS. CARNESALE: We are. 10 THE COURT: Bring in the jury. 11 MR. J. BAILEY: Your Honor, are you bringing the 12 jury in now? 1.3 THE COURT: Yes. 14 MR. J. BAILEY: May we approach then? 15 THE COURT: You may. 16 (Bench conference commenced.) 17 MR. J. BAILEY: Your Honor, I previously requested 18 that the Court redact or exclude any conversations from the 19 jail conversations that would have involved counsel. All I 20 would ask the Court to do is that if -- it's my understanding 21 that the State intends to play or to publish to the jury some 22 of those -- some of the conversations on the CD. If they're 23 going to be conversations with counsel played, I would ask the 24 Court to allow us to have a jury-out hearing prior to those 25

conversations so that we can number one, determine -- make objections on relevancy on some of these phone conversations.

And two, I want to make sure we build a record as to the basis for our objection.

MS. WEIRICH: Judge, the whole CD is in evidence. I don't intend to play the many, many hours of it this morning. But if when the jury gets back to deliberate, they want to, they can. The whole thing is in evidence right now.

MR. W. BAILEY: Of course, the Court has the discretion in terms of protecting the interest of the defendant based on fundamental fairness --

THE COURT: I understand that. But the issue is no different than what we discussed yesterday, just the same objection that's being revisited. And I don't see any real reason for any change in the ruling today than we discussed yesterday.

MR. J. BAILEY: May I just be heard a little further on that? Yesterday, in all honesty -- in all candor, I'm sorry, my objection was really based on it being privileged, those conversations being privileged. Now I guess what I'm saying is that some of those conversations may not even be relevant to this case.

THE COURT: Well, they may not be, but you're the one that was demanding as quick a trial as possible. You went -- when I set this trial date, you and your client wanted an

earlier trial date. It's incumbent on you to -- you've had access to this in this -- in these months leading up to the trial. If there are portions of these 35 phone calls extending over many hours that you feel aren't relevant, then you should have brought that to my attention pretrial, and we could have had a hearing pretrial.

But in the middle of a trial, I don't plan to halt the proceedings to listen to 35 phone calls that extend over many, many hours just because you think some of them may not be relevant. If you can point to a specific conversation that you feel is not relevant, let me know. If you've got a transcript and you want to say here, this call made on this date to this person is not relevant because it talks about X, Y and Z, let me know. But to just come up here now and just say, well, let's have an out-of-jury hearing and listen to all these 35 phone calls because some of them may be relevant and some of them may not be, no, we're not going there. That's why we have pretrial hearings.

MR. J. BAILEY: All right. Well, I'll just state this that I'm --

MR. W. BAILEY: This whole thing may be moot if she would -- are you prepared to identify what portions of the

THE COURT: The point she made is that the whole

CD is in evidence. And so whatever portions she plays for the

jury are in evidence at this point. If you --

MS. WEIRICH: Even the ones that I don't play are in evidence.

THE COURT: Of course. And I suppose if after a conversation is played for the jury, if you want to for the record the next time we send --

DEPUTY LAFFERTY: Judge, can the jury step back?

THE COURT: Sure. Let me excuse all of y'all,

please. We can continue this from counsel table since the

jury is going out.

(Said bench conference concluded.)

(Jury out.)

THE COURT: One of the jurors needed a break and so that's why they're excused. But to finish my thought. I suppose if after portions of that CD are played for the jury, if you want to renew your objection on relevance grounds, you can certainly do so, approach the bench or the next time the jury is excused at lunch or whenever, make a statement for the record that you believe that this portion or that portion was not relevant.

MR. J. BAILEY: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: And if it was -- if I agree with you, then I guess I could take the step of then excluding it from the jury's consideration and giving them some curative instruction to that effect.

MR. J. BAILEY: Very well, Your Honor.

THE COURT: But at this point in time, given the amount of time that has been -- that everyone has had to prepare for this trial and the access everyone has had to all of this evidence, as I indicated, I'm not inclined to at this point have an out-of-jury hearing to listen to all 35 phone conversations just to try and fair it out what may be more relevant and what may be less relevant. That's something that is incumbent on the attorneys and call it to my attention pretrial. We have those types of hearings pretrial. And it all comes a little too late at this point.

And so as to the relevance issue, I don't intend to have an out-of-jury hearing at this point in time. You may renew your objection. We may revisit that at a later point if you want to renew it.

MR. J. BAILEY: Very well.

THE COURT: As to the privileged question, we discussed that yesterday and for all the reasons I stated on the record yesterday, I don't think that that objection has Merritt either.

MR. J. BAILEY: May I -- and I just -- without objection, accept Your Honor's ruling. I just wanted just for the record clear up something. It's not that we did not address the issue. I mean, we did not file a pretrial motion on it. But I had received a summary of all 35 calls, and I

7.

1.8

have that with me. And there are calls that mention myself and calls, you know, summaries where it says suspect or defendant talked to J. Bailey or talked to Leslie Ballin or what have you. And it doesn't get into any privileged material. And so I assumed -- I've had other cases where jail calls were monitored or were obtained pursuant to a search warrant or what have you and they always would, you know, once they saw that he was talking to his lawyer, they dropped that. And the other calls would remain. And in this case it appears like there is nothing --

THE COURT: Of course, I don't know what the conversations are, what the content of the conversations might have been. But again, those are all the types of things that need to be hashed out pretrial.

MR. J. BAILEY: I understand.

THE COURT: Every case is different. Every set of circumstances is different. And the case law that you are alluding to, although I have not seen any of it yet, but that you're familiar with and I'm sure there is plenty of case law on that issue, but I don't know what the facts of those cases might have been --

MR. J. BAILEY: I understand.

THE COURT: -- compared to the facts of this case.

I don't know if those cases might have dealt with the types of phone calls from the phones that are dedicated for calling --

for an inmate calling an attorney, if those were monitored improperly. Or if those cases dealt with this type of phone call that is just a general call that has to be made collect and then by a three-way hookup is then hooked up with the attorney. I don't know if those calls included the type of warning that's given in the calls from the correctional center and the jail that this call will be monitored.

MR. J. BAILEY: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: It is just impossible for me just to say now point blank well, it's privileged we have to exclude it. And those are the types of issues that need to be raised pretrial so we can examine it more closely and hash it out. And I'm not inclined three days into the trial with 14 sequestered jurors to take the time now to listen to 35 phone calls and fair all that out and review the case law and rule.

That's incumbent upon defense counsel to raise and for us to explore. And as far as I'm concerned, there is ample reason to conclude -- there is more than ample reason to conclude that those phone conversations made by your client through that telephone that is one, that has the announcement that it's being monitored to a third person and then connected to the attorney under all these circumstances is not privileged. The privilege has been waived. And therefore, we're going to proceed.

MR. J. BAILEY: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: I'll note your exception. 1 MR. J. BAILEY: Thank you, Judge. 2 THE COURT: Bring in the jury, please. 3 (Jury present.) 4 THE COURT: All right. Ladies and gentlemen, let 5 me make one brief explanation to you. Under Tennessee law 6 currently and for the past several years, cameras are allowed 7 I guess the merits of that could be debated at in courtrooms. 8 a different time but nonetheless, that is the law now. And so 9 as you've noticed when you came in this time, we do have a 10 camera in the courtroom. However, the cameras are not allowed 11 under any circumstances to film jurors or the audience and so 12 y'all need to just put it out of your mind and not focus on 13 the camera but focus on the proof that comes in and the trial 14 itself. But I just wanted to give that explanation to you 15 that the jurors will not be photographed at any time under any 16 circumstances. All right. Call your next witness. 17 MS. WEIRICH: Thank you. State calls Officer 18 Fair. 19 THE COURT: Officer Fair. 20

21

22

23

24

OFFICER FAIR 1 called as a witness, being first duly sworn, was examined and 2 testified as follows: 3 DIRECT EXAMINATION 4 BY MS. WEIRICH: 5 Good morning. Q 6 Good morning. 7 Α Would you please tell the jury your name and spell your 8 first and last names for the court reporter? 9 Myron Fair. M-Y-R-O-N F-A-I-R. 10 Α And I called you in here as an officer but you are 11 dressed nicely in a suit today. Are you a Memphis Police 12 Department officer? 13 Yes, I am. 14 Α How long have you been with the department? 15 14 and a half years. 16 Α Where are you currently assigned? 17 Organized Crime. 18 Α Are you off duty today? 19 Q Yes. 20 Α In fact, you are recovering from some surgery; is that 21 Q 22 correct? 23 Α Yes. How long have you been with Organized Crime? 24 Q Six and a half years. 25 Α

Do you know the defendant Vern Braswell? Q 1 Yes, I do. Α 2 Do you see him in court this morning? 3 Q Yes, I do. Α 4 Would you point to him for me, please, Officer Fair, 5 Q and tell me what he's wearing? 6 The guy on the front row with a white shirt, brown 7 sports jacket on. 8 MS. WEIRICH: Let the record reflect he has 9 identified the defendant. 10 THE COURT: Yes, ma'am. 11 When was the last time you saw the defendant before 12 today? How long has it been? 13 Been a while, about -- a little bit over a year, right 14 Α at a year, something like that. 15 All right. How do you know the defendant? 16 We're fraternity brothers. 17 Α What fraternity? 18 0 Omega Psi Phi. Α 19 Y'all pledged together? 20 Q 21 Α Yes. All right. And this was a fraternity that you became a 22 Q member of after college; is that correct? 23 Correct. Α 24 When did you and the defendant pledge this fraternity 25 Q

```
together?
1
2
        Α
             1999.
             Were you working as an officer then?
3
        0
        Α
             Yes.
4
             And what was the defendant doing for an occupation, if
        Q
5
      you know, at that time?
6
             Teacher.
        Α
7
             Okay. Did y'all become close friends?
        0
8
9
        Α
             Yeah, we was -- yes.
             All right. Did you talk everyday?
10
        Q
             Not everyday, every once in a while.
        Α
11
             All right. Did you socialize with he and his wife?
12
        Q
             Yeah, I have before, yes.
13
        Α
             Often?
14
        Q
             Not often.
        Α
15
             How often?
16
        Q
             Just once every now and then I see them out and, you
17
        Α
            I speak to them and stuff like that.
18
              Okay. Did you ride motorcycles with him?
        Q
19
              No.
20
        Α
              So you weren't part of that motorcycle club?
21
         Q
              No.
22
        Α
              Did you ever frequent the Rough Rider Club?
23
         Q
              No.
         Α
24
              Did you ever go there?
25
         Q
```

```
No.
1
        Α
             Had you ever heard of it?
2
        Q
             I heard of it.
        Α
3
             Okay. From whom?
        0
4
             Vern.
        Α
5
             Okay. Before -- let me take you back to November 5th,
        Q
6
             Were you at home the early morning hours of that
7
      2004.
      morning?
8
             Yes, I was.
9
        Α
             What were you doing?
10
        0
             Sleep.
        Α
11
             All right. And what was your home phone number then?
12
        Q
             383-2506.
        Α
13
             Okay. Did you have a cell phone?
        Q
14
              Yes, I had two.
15
        Α
             What were those -- why did you have two cell phones?
16
        Q
             One was a personal and the other one was a work phone.
        Α
17
              One was MPD property?
18
         Q
         Α
              Right.
19
              And the other was your personal?
20
         Q
         Α
              Right.
21
              Okay. Did you use both of them?
22
         Q
23
         Α
              Yes.
              Did the defendant have all of your numbers?
24
         Q
              Yes, he had my numbers.
25
         Α
```

Your home number and both your cell phones? 1 0 I don't know about the home. I think he had both cell 2 numbers. 3 Okay. How often would you and the defendant talk to Q 4 one another on the cell phone? 5 Probably like once, twice a month, something like that. 6 Α Okay. Would you see him at fraternity functions? 7 Q Α Yes. 8 How often would you see him at fraternity functions? 9 Q Well, I couldn't go a lot because of my work schedule. 10 Α You couldn't go a lot? 11 Q Right, because I work a lot. 12 Α Okay. 13 Q But when I did I saw him. 14 Α Okay. With OCU, Organized Crime Unit, you work 15 Q different hours than the rest of the world, don't you? 16 Right. 17 Α Are you working a lot of times at night? 18 Right. Α 19 Do you work a lot of overtime? 20 Q Yes. 21 Α All right. So on November 5th, 2004, did you get a Q 22 call from the defendant? 23 Α Yes. 24 Okay. Did you answer the phone when he called? 25 Q

```
No, I didn't.
1
        Α
             Because why?
2
        Q
             I was asleep.
        Α
3
             And was the call on your cell phone or your home phone?
4
        Q
             Cell phone.
5
        Α
             When did you realize you had gotten a call from him or
6
        Q
      did you?
7
             The next -- the next morning.
        Α
8
             Okay. That morning November 5th, 2004?
 9
        Q
10
        Α
             Yes.
             When you woke up?
11
             Right.
12
        Α
             Were you going to work?
13
        Q
             Yes, taking my son to daycare.
14
        Α
             Okay. And did you see on your phone that you had
         Q
15
      missed a call?
16
              Well, I got a phone call.
17
        Α
              Okay. You got a phone call from someone else?
18
         Q
              Then I checked my phone.
         Α
19
              Okay. Because of what that person told you, you
20
         Q
       checked your phone; is that correct?
21
         Α
              Correct.
22
              All right. About what time was that?
23
         Q
              About 7:45, eight o'clock.
24
         Α
              After you dropped your son off at school, were you
25
         Q
```

```
headed to work or do you remember?
1
             I can't exactly remember if I was headed to work.
        Α
2
             Okay. Because of the phone call that you got that made
        Q
3
      you check your cell phone --
4
             Right.
        Α
5
             -- and you saw that you had missed a call --
6
        Q
7
        Α
             Right.
             -- from the defendant, did you call the defendant?
8
        Q
9
        Α
             Yes.
             Okay. About what time?
10
        0
             Probably about nine, 9:30, somewhere between there,
        Α
11
            Somewhere in that area.
      ten.
12
             Okay. Where was he when you called him?
13
        Q
             Well, he couldn't really talk when he -- when I called
14
        Α
15
      him.
             What number did you call him on?
16
        Q
              It's a -- it was a cell phone. All I can remember is
17
        Α
             It was a cell phone number.
18
      331.
              Okay. What do you mean he really couldn't talk?
        Q
19
             When I called him he was real short with me.
20
        Α
              Okay. Did he tell you where he was?
21
        Q
              Well, basically all he said is that he fixing to give a
22
        Α
       statement and these people think I killed my wife.
23
              Okay. Did you say -- did you ask him why did they
24
        Q
       think that?
25
```

No, I couldn't ask him because like I said, it was real 1 Α -- I didn't know where he was at. He was real short. 2 Did he tell you I'm up in the Homicide Bureau? 3 Q No, he just said he was fixing to give a statement. Α 4 He said he was fixing to give a statement? Q 5 Yes. 6 Α But he didn't tell you where he was? Q 7 Α No. 8 And this was about what time again? 9 Q I think it was about nine, between nine and ten, 10 Α somewhere in that ballpark. 11 Was that the last time you talked to him that day? 12 Q That day, yes. 13 Α Okay. Did you talk to him after that day while he was 14 Q in custody? 15 16 Α Yes. Did he ever mention to you, Myron, you've got to help 17 me, Buddy. My wife and I were having sex and we went a little 18 too far and she died and I've got to get out of this mess? 19 20 Α No. Ever say that? 21 Q No. 22 Α Did he ever tell you, Myron, Buddy, you've got to help 23 Q This was all a big misunderstanding and I'm being held in 24 the jail for no reason. Did he ever say that? 25

1	A	No.
2	Q	And maybe I've asked you this. If I did, I apologize.
3	What w	as your back in November of 2004, November 5th, what
4	was your home phone number?	
5	A	383-2506.
6	Q	And your cell phone number, both of them?
7	A	My personal was 870-8667. And my work was 553-7044.
8	Q	7044?
9	A	Yes, ma'am.
10	Q	Which one of those phones did the defendant call you
11	on, yo	our personal cell phone or your MPD cell phone or do you
12	rememb	per?
13	A	I can't remember.
14	Q	All right.
15		MS. WEIRICH: Nothing further, Your Honor.
16		THE COURT: Mr. Bailey.
17		MR. W. BAILEY: Thank you, Judge.
18		
19		CROSS-EXAMINATION
20	BY MR	. W. BAILEY:
21	Q	Mr. Fair, as I understand you've known Mr. Braswell for
22	an ex	tensive period of time; is that correct?
23	A	Yes.
24	Q	And he felt comfortable calling you to let me back
25	up.	You knew each other for such an extensive period of time

```
that there was a certain comfort level with you that the two
1
      of you shared; is that correct?
2
             Yeah, I been knowing him a while, yes.
3
             And when you finally got a chance to talk with him
4
      where he wasn't short, isn't it true that he was very
5
      distraught, wouldn't you say?
6
             I can't say.
7
        \mathbf{A}
             You don't know whether he was distraught or not?
        0
8
        Α
             No, sir.
9
             Was he crying at the time he talked with you?
10
        Q
              Well, he sounded kind of, like, I can't say if he was
11
        Α
12
      crying.
              Was he incoherent?
13
        0
              No, sir.
14
        Α
              And -- which means you can understand him?
        0
15
              Right.
16
        Α
              And he shared with you that he had been charged with
17
         Q
       killing his wife, didn't he?
18
         Α
              Yes.
19
              And he denied it, didn't he? In other words, he didn't
20
       tell you I killed my wife, did he?
21
              No, he didn't tell me that.
         Α
22
              And he didn't tell you I intentionally killed my wife,
23
         Q
       did he?
24
```

No, sir.

25

Α

MR. W. BAILEY: No further questions. 1 2 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 3 BY MS. WEIRICH: 4 Did he tell you he accidentally killed his wife? Q 5 No, sir -- I mean, no, ma'am. Α 6 Did he tell you that he was -- they thought he had 7 0 killed his wife? Tell me exactly what he told you. 8 Well, he said -- he said he was fixing to give a 9 Α statement, these people think I killed my wife. 10 These people think I killed my wife? 11 Q Right. 12 Α Did he say anything else about it? 13 Q No. 14 Α Okay. If your wife had shown up dead in the bathtub, 0 15 would you call Vern Braswell? 16 MR. J. BAILEY: Your Honor, I object. 17 THE COURT: Sustained. 18 MS. WEIRICH: Nothing further. 19 THE COURT: You may step down. Call your next 20 witness. 21 MS. WEIRICH: State calls Carolyn Chambers. 22 23 24 25

CAROLYN CHAMBERS 1 called as a witness, being first duly sworn, was examined and 2 testified as follows: 3 DIRECT EXAMINATION 4 BY MS. WEIRICH: 5 Good morning. Q 6 Good morning. Α 7 Would you please tell the jury your name? 0 8 Α Carolyn Chambers. 9 Where do you work? 10 Q Shelby County Sheriff's Department. 11 Α If you could spell your name also for the court 12 13 reporter. I'm sorry. First name Carolyn, C-A-R-O-L-Y-N. Last name Chambers, 14 C-H-A-M-B-E-R-S. 15 What do you do for the Sheriff's Department? 16 I work in the Metro Gang Unit Special Operations. 17 pull jail tapes from the telephones. 18 Tell us how the phone system in the jail works. 19 Can inmates in the Shelby County jail make calls whenever they 20 want to? 21 Well, they're limited by where they're housed. 22 Α would depend on how many hours they have out in their cell and 23 And when they come in, they get two free phone everything. 24 calls. It's supposed to be two but they get more than that. 25

It depends on what jailer is working. Once they get through the process of being booked in, they go to a post-booking area where they get, like, unlimited calling time until they're put into usually a lower level pod, which is a 23 and one and you're out -- you're locked up for 23 hours and out for one hour so they have one hour to get on the phone. Then from there, they're put up on the floor depending on their security level what their hours will be in the pod and out.

Q And their security level dictates how many hours they might have access to a phone?

A Yes.

Q All right. How do you make a call from the phones in the jail? Can an inmate just pick up the phone and call anybody in the world?

A No, they pick up the phone. The phone that's actually in the jail is not an outside phone. It's an internal phone that routes them to a cam system. And the cam system once they put the phone number in with the area code, it will validate the number and then they'll call the number if it's a valid number. And once they call the number, the person hears at the other end hears a recording that comes on saying this is a collect call from the Shelby County jail and the inmate has to state their name and then it says -- goes into a little bit about the fees of how much it costs for the collect call. And then at the end once they -- it tells them to push three

if they want to accept the call or just hangup or push nine if they don't. And then once they accept it, both parties hear the recording state, this call is subject to monitoring and recording.

- Q All right.
- A And then the phone call begins.
- Q So the person receiving the call has to agree to pay for the call?
 - A Yes.

- Q All right. Is there a phone in the jail separate from the phone system that you've just described where an inmate can call his attorney and there's no recording?
- A Yes, on the intake phones. Those are not recorded. They get their free supposedly two phone calls. And they can call their attorney or whoever they wish to call on those. But once they're inside a pod all they have to do is request of the counselor to give them access to a free phone to talk to their attorney. This is posted on a sign above the phone, and it's also posted on a sign attached to the phone.
 - Q And what do you mean by a free phone?
- A It's a phone that's not recorded and not charged collect call.
 - O Doesn't cost anything to make the call?
- A No.
 - Q How long have you been with the Sheriff's Department?

A A little over ten years.

Q Back in November of 2004, were you requested by Sergeant Merritt to monitor some phone calls of an inmate by the name of Vern Braswell?

A Yes, I was.

- Q Are requests like that made of you often?
- A Yes, they are.
 - Q How do you go about doing that?

A Well, once I receive the request, it's a written request and the officer signs it or the detective signs it, they fax it to my office. And then I go to the JMS system which is the Jail Monitoring System. And I pull up the inmate's arrest history because on the arrest history they list emergency contacts, home phone numbers sometime and I check it for any kind of phone numbers that might come up.

Once I do that, then I go to the floor logging activity, which shows me every place the inmate has been in the jail at what time, that way I know what phone they have access to. I also pull up the relatives listing from this machine and it tells me addresses of relatives that they've listed, phone numbers that they've listed, anything like that. And once I get all this compiled, I go to the actual phone system machine.

The phone system machine is a completely separate machine that is ran through Evercom in Texas. The server is in Texas but we have the actual cam systems here. I believe

there's eight of them that store the calls onto the system. And once they're stored on the system, depending on where the inmate is housed, I have had calls stored up to six months on it. And once I retrieve them and put them on CD, they're stored from now on, indefinite amount of time. But once I go to where the person is housed, if none of the numbers that I got off the JMS, the Jail Management System come up, I look on the floor activity to see where he's at and each pod if it's a secure pod has two phones, an A phone and a B phone. And I check each call that goes out of that phone for a day. And I listen to the introduction of it and see if the person says their name on the introduction. If they do, then I go through the call to make sure that it's positively this inmate. If I'm not sure it's that inmate, I don't use it. I just disregard that phone call.

But once I do verify that it is the inmate that I'm looking for, then I load them onto a CD. Once I load them onto the CD, I call the detective and they have to come up there and I assign an evidence number to the CD and they have to sign for it. That way it keeps the chain of custody that the phone calls cannot be tampered with from the time it leaves my hand until the time it comes to court.

Q When Sergeant Merritt requested that you go through this process for the inmate Vern Braswell and the process that you've just explained to the jury that you go through, how

long does that take?

A Well, if I'm lucky and I get an inmate that has given the phone calls, it might take a couple of hours. But if there's no phone calls listed for him, I have to search. It can take up to three days. It just depends on how quick I can find them.

Q All right. And again, what do you mean if I'm lucky and the inmate has given me the phone numbers?

A Okay. If I'm able to get the phone number on the Jail Management System on the arrest history or the relatives or anything like that, I can put that phone number into the machine and it'll pull up any time that phone number was called anywhere in the jail for however many months I go back. And then once I get that, I'll look at what pod they're in. If they only get one hour out, that shortens my search. I only have to check a span of an hour before and an hour after the call on that pod to see if they're using any other numbers.

- Q All right. And you prepared such a compact disc for Sergeant Merritt?
 - A Yes, I did.
 - Q And have you listened to it since that time?
- A I have not listened to the disc I gave him because it's the evidence disc.
 - Q Okay. Did you keep a copy or retain a copy or how does

that work? 1 Yes, I do. I keep a master copy of every disc I sign 2 out because the room I'm in is secured. It has locks on it 3 and stuff. No one has access to the room except myself and my 4 supervisor. 5 All right. I'm going to pass you, Ms. Chambers, what 6 has been marked Exhibit 27. I pass you this CD and the 7 envelope. Do you recognize any of that? 8 Yes, this is my writing on the CD and this is my 9 Α evidence number that's assigned to this CD. 10 Okay. For this particular case Vern Braswell? 11 0 Yes. 12 Α Do you give everybody a different number? 13 Yes, I do. Every CD I give a different number. 14 Α inmate I might end up with four CDs full of phone calls and I 15 give each separate CD a separate number. 16 And that is what you turned over to Sergeant Merritt; 17 is that correct? 18 Uh-huh, this is what he signed for and dated. 19 Α All right. If I could have that back. 20 Q MS. WEIRICH: Judge, at this time with Ms. 21 Carnesale's assistance, if we could play certain phone calls 22 for the jury. 23

THE COURT: You may.

MR. W. BAILEY: Would Your Honor note our

24

continued objection?

THE COURT: So noted.

Q Is each call given an identifying number?

A Yes, it is. When you pull up the call, it's saved under a WAV file number, which is a long number the computer assigns it with a dot and then WAV at the end, and it gives each call a separate number. And then on the call detail when you print it out telling you the date, the phone number, the time of the call, the phone number called, it will have the WAV number on there also so you just match the WAV file number and you find out the date and time of the call and the phone number.

(Played Exhibit No. 27.)

Q While she's setting up the next one, Ms. Chambers, the various voices that you hear on that, is that how a three-way call is made?

A Yes, it is. They call the primary number and then they flash over to the -- use the flash button and go to the second line and that way they can make an outgoing call on a second line to a third party.

Q Why would they want to do that? Why not just call the number they want to call?

A Because a lot of the times the number they want to call is blocked. There's blocks that are placed on the collect -- on the phones where they can't receive any kind of collect

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

call from the jail. There's several different types of blocks that they can place on it. Sometimes if they have too much money built up on a phone for collect calls, Evercom will put a block on it so that they can't receive anymore until they pay their bill. (Continued to play Exhibit 27.) THE COURT: Can you pause it, Ms. Carnesale? MS. CARNESALE: Yes, sir. THE COURT: All right. Ladies and gentlemen, we will stop at this time. We will resume the trial at 1:30. As always, do not discuss the case among yourselves. (Jury out.) THE COURT: You may step down, Ms. Chambers. Please be back at 1:30. Take him out. Recess until 1:30. (Recess.) THE COURT: Bring out the defendant, please. Chambers, if you would resume the witness stand please. (Carolyn Chambers resumed the witness stand, having previously been sworn.) THE COURT: Bring in the jury, please. (Jury present.) THE COURT: Ms. Weirich. MS. WEIRICH: Thank you, Your Honor.

DIRECT EXAMINATION CONTINUED 1 BY MS. WEIRICH: 2 I believe when we broke we were about to start the next 3 phone call, Ms. Chambers. 4 Α Yes. 5 MS. WEIRICH: I'll let Ms. Carnesale hook that up 6 for us. 7 (Continued to play Exhibit No. 27.) 8 MS. WEIRICH: Pass the witness, Your Honor. 9 THE COURT: Mr. Bailey. 10 MR. J. BAILEY: Your Honor, indulge us one moment. 11 MR. W. BAILEY: Would Your Honor indulge me a 12 moment? Thank you, Your Honor. We don't have any questions. 13 THE COURT: Thank you. You may step down. Call 14 your next witness. 15 MS. CARNESALE: Your Honor, the State calls 16 Officer Walls. 17 18 OFFICER WALLS 19 called as a witness, being first duly sworn, was examined and 20 testified as follows: 21 DIRECT EXAMINATION 22 BY MS. CARNESALE: 23 Good afternoon, sir. 24 Good afternoon. 25 Α

```
Will you please state and spell your name for the
1
      record?
2
                    Troy Walls. T-R-O-Y W-A-L-L-S.
        Α
3
             Where are employed, Mr. Walls?
        0
4
             Millington Police Department.
        Α
5
             How long have you been with the Millington Police
        Q
6
7
      Department?
             Ten years.
8
        Α
             What rank are you currently?
9
        Q
             Patrolman.
        Α
10
             Have you been a patrolman during the ten years you've
11
        Q
      worked for the Millington Police Department?
12
             Yes, ma'am.
13
        Α
             Were you working as such in April of 1996?
14
        Q
             Yes, ma'am.
15
        Α
             And you were a patrolman; is that right?
16
        Q
             Yes, ma'am.
17
        Α
             Can you tell the jury what a patrolman does?
18
        Q
              A patrolman's job is basically day-to-day operations,
        Α
19
      to answer any call that they may get that's dispatched to them
20
       from traffic to disturbance to a fight call.
21
              Do you patrol a certain area of Millington or the
22
        Q
23
       entire city?
              The entire city.
24
        Α
              Back in 1996, do you recall what shift you worked?
25
         0
```

```
Midnight shift, three to 11 -- I'm sorry. I worked
        Α
1
      from 11 to seven.
2
             11 p.m. to 7 a.m.?
3
        Q
             Yes, ma'am.
        Α
4
             Were you riding alone or with a partner?
5
        Q
             I was with a partner at that time.
        Α
6
             And were you in uniform?
7
        0
        Α
             Yes, ma'am.
8
             Were you in a marked police car?
9
        Q
             Yes, ma'am.
10
        Α
             Did you have occasion to answer a call to the location
11
      of 5301 Forrestal Street in Millington on April 17th, 1996?
12
             Thereabouts. I believe there's an error on that
13
               The correct address I believe would have been 4503
14
      was where we were called to.
15
             Okay. And do you recall approximately what time the
16
      call went out?
17
              Approximately by looking at my notes, I think it was
18
      about 11:30.
19
              P.M.
20
        0
              Yes, ma'am.
21
        Α
              Shortly before midnight?
22
        Q
              Yes, ma'am.
        Α
23
              What type of call were you responding to?
24
        Q
              Domestic assault.
25
         Α
```

- 1	
1	Q And when you arrived, was it a house or an apartment?
2	A It's a townhouse.
3	Q A townhouse. When you arrived at the townhouse, what
4	did you find?
5	A We found a young lady that was upset.
6	MR. W. BAILEY: Your Honor, may we approach?
7	THE COURT: You may.
8	(Bench conference commenced.)
9	MR. W. BAILEY: We object to him using the
٥	collective term "we." He can tell us what he knows, what he
11	observed, if he's doing it from his own recollection. I've
L2	got some questions as to whether this is past recollection
L3	recorded. He's relying on the document and if he
L4	THE COURT: Well, that's all proper
15	cross-examination, but I'll be glad to ask that you have him
16	rephrase and testify as to what he saw.
17	MR. J. BAILEY: While we're here, I object on the
18	grounds of hearsay to his testifying as to what Sheila
19	Braswell has told him.
20	MS. WEIRICH: It's excited utterance, Your Honor.
21	THE COURT: It would depend on the circumstances.
22	I haven't heard yet what it is that she told him. Perhaps we
23	would have to have an out-of-jury hearing, but anything that'
24	said as an excited utterance or something of that sort, then
25	it may not be but or if it's not stated for the truth of the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
matter but -- let me send the jury out and we'll have a brief
hearing and make sure.
              (Said bench conference concluded.)
            THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, I'm going to
excuse you for a few minutes. We'll call you back shortly.
            (Jury out.)
            THE COURT: All right. Ms. Carnesale.
BY MS. CARNESALE:
       Officer Walls, when you arrived at the scene, did you
go with your partner up to the townhouse?
  Α
       Yes.
       Okay. And did someone answer the door or was someone
outside?
       I believe someone answered the door. We went to the
  Α
door.
       And was that Sheila Braswell?
       I believe at the original location we went to it was
not her. It was a neighbor.
       Okay. Was Ms. Braswell at the neighbor's house or
  Q
townhouse?
  Α
       Yes.
       Did you speak to her personally?
  Q
  Α
       Yes.
       And did you observe her demeanor?
  Q
  Α
       Yes.
```

- Q What was her demeanor?
- A As best of my recollection, she was upset and crying.
- Q And you say as best as your recollection. Do you recall this incident?
- A Somewhat. After -- after reading my report and I remember it. I remember parts of it. I didn't remember the whole thing.
- Q So in other words, you're not just testifying from what's written in the report, you actually recall this?
- A I recall some of it, yes.
- Q Okay. And she was upset. Was she crying?
- 12 A Yes.

- Q Did you see any injuries to her?
 - A Yes. There was a scratch on her eye, one on her arm and if I remember -- if I remember correctly, she had -- she was kind of -- I don't want to say flushed but it looked like her face is not necessarily punched but almost something like a carpet burn. It was, you know, like something had been brushed up against her face.
 - Q Was there a lot of color to her --
- A Yes, I guess that would be the best way to say it.
 - Q Did she tell you how she received these injuries?
- 23 A Yes.
 - MS. CARNESALE: Your Honor, I'd ask that he be allowed to testify as an excited utterance exception.

MR. J. BAILEY: May I voir dire him on that? 1 THE COURT: You may. 2 3 CROSS-EXAMINATION 4 BY MR. J. BAILEY: 5 Officer, when she was talking to you, was she speaking 6 coherently? 7 I -- from what I remember, I think it was just talking 8 during sobs. She was excited and crying. 9 MR. J. BAILEY: All right. 10 THE COURT: Bring in the jury, please. All right. 11 Well, the jury is occupied right now. Take him out, please. 12 You may step down. Don't talk to anybody about your testimony 13 during the recess, please. We'll stand in recess. 14 (Recess.) 15 THE COURT: Bring in the defendant, please. 16 Officer Walls, if you would resume the witness stand, please. 17 (Officer Walls resumed the witness stand, 18 having previously been sworn.) 19 THE COURT: Bring in the jury. 20 (Jury present.) 21 THE COURT: Ms. Carnesale, you may continue. 22 MS. CARNESALE: Thank you, Judge. 23 24 25

DIRECT EXAMINATION CONTINUED

BY MS. CARNESALE:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

19

- Q Officer Walls, when you arrived at 4503 that night of April '96, April 17th, what did you find?
- A Spoke to a young lady that was upset. She had a -- she was upset and crying, had a scratch over her right eye and a scratch on her right arm and she was kind of flushed.
- Q Kind of flushed. Did she tell you how she had received these injuries?
- A She said her and her husband had got into an argument over him drinking and using some kind of drugs.
 - Q Did she tell you what else happened?
- A She said during the argument that he had hit her. I don't recall what sort, that during her trying to get away, that he had scratched her on the face and had scratched her on the arm and had held her in a headlock, type choke hold.
- 17 Q Choke hold?
- 18 A Uh-huh.
 - Q And did you -- you saw these injuries to the face?
- 20 A Yes.
 - Q Do you recall this woman's name?
- A I didn't until the report. It was Sheila Braswell, I believe.
- Q And you reviewed a report in anticipation of your testimony today; is that correct?

1	
1	A Yes, ma'am.
2	Q However, do you recall this incident?
3	A Somewhat.
4	Q Once you read the report, did that jog your memory?
5	A Yes, it did.
6	MS. CARNESALE: Your Honor, at this time I'd ask
7	that Officer Walls be shown what was previously shown for ID
8	only Exhibit No. 3.
9	THE COURT: Yes.
10	Q Officer Walls, do you recognize what's depicted in
11	those photographs?
12	A It appears to be the pictures that we would have took
13	on the scene that night.
14	Q In April of '96?
15	A Yes, ma'am.
16	Q Who is in those two photographs?
17	A Sheila Braswell.
18	Q Is that how she appeared the evening you saw her?
19	A From what I remember.
20	MS. CARNESALE: Your Honor, we'd ask that that be
21	admitted into evidence as Exhibit No. 3 at this time.
22	MR. J. BAILEY: Well
23	THE COURT: Would you like to approach?
24	MR. J. BAILEY: May I just see it one second, Your
25	Honor?

THE COURT: Sure. 1 MR. J. BAILEY: I know we marked it earlier, but 2 le me take a look at it. No objection. 3 THE COURT: All right. Exhibit 3. Remove the ID 4 designation. 5 (Exhibit No. 3 was marked and filed.) 6 MS. CARNESALE: Your Honor, may we publish it to 7 the jury? 8 THE COURT: You may. 9 Officer Walls, there are two photographs; is that 10 correct? 11 12 Α Yes. And underneath there is a name Sheila F. Braswell 13 04/17/96? 14 Yes, ma'am. Α 15 Did you or your partner write that under the 16 photograph? 17 Yes, ma'am, I believe we would have. 18 Α And the second photograph same, same name and date? 19 Q Yes, ma'am. 20 Α And these are copies of the black and white photograph 21 but she's holding up her arm. Did you see an injury to that 22 23 arm? On the scene we would have, but I can't really see it 24 Α on this photo. 25

Okay. Because of the poor quality? Q 1 2 Α Yes. And here is a side -- photograph of the side of her 3 Q face. Do you see anything in that photograph that you saw 4 that evening? 5 Other than just what you can see that I recall. Α 6 been so long ago. 7 Okay. Now did you go in -- the townhouse where you 8 spoke with Ms. Braswell, whose home was that? 9 It was a neighbor's. 10 Α Did you actually go into Ms. Braswell's home? 11 Q Yes, we did. 12 Α Why did you do that? 13 Q We went to her residence to check to see if anyone was 14 Α left in the residence. 15 Was anyone present? 16 Q 17 Α No. Did you observe anything in the residence itself? 18 0 There was, according to my report I don't really recall 19 Α everything that was knocked over, but there was lamps and 20 furniture kind of moved around and knocked over and as well as 21 in the bedroom. 22 Did it look as if there had been some sort of 23 altercation? 24 Yes, ma'am. 25 Α

Q	Did you or your partner arrest anyone that evening?
A	No, ma'am.
Q	Why not?
A	The subject was gone on arrival.
Q	Did you advise Ms. Braswell of anything before you
left?	
A	Well, we advised her how to obtain a warrant for the
incide	nt, and then also gave her the number for the domestic
abuse	shelters.
Q	And for the benefit of the jury, what is a warrant?
A	A warrant would be an implement for arrest for the
assaul	t on her.
	MS. CARNESALE: Thank you. Your Honor, I'll pass
the wi	tness.
	THE COURT: Mr. Bailey.
	CROSS-EXAMINATION
BY MR.	W. BAILEY:
Q	Officer Walls, how long have you been with the
Millir	ngton Police Department?
II .	-
A	Ten years.
A Q	
	Ten years.
Q	Ten years. You there now?
	A Q A Q left? A incide abuse Q A assaul the wi

And of course you've made a lot of calls since you've Q 1 been on the force, haven't you? 2 3 Α Yes, sir. And you were being honest when you said you don't 0 4 remember most of this, do you? 5 Α No, sir. 6 In fact, you're trying to your best recollection have 7 0 your memory revived by reading the report; is that correct? 8 Α Yes, sir. 9 You don't have any independent recollection, do you? 10 The reason being is I was in training at the 11 Α Yes, sir. time, and for some reason this and a couple other calls while 12 I was in training stand out. 13 Uh-huh. But you mention something about a warrant. 14 Q You don't recall her ever going down and getting a warrant, do 15 16 you? 17 Α No, sir. And if one had been obtained, you would have known, 18 Q wouldn't you? 19 Not necessarily. 20 And this was nine years ago? 21 Yes, sir. Α 22 MR. W. BAILEY: No further questions. 23 MS. CARNESALE: No redirect. Thank you, Officer. 24 THE COURT: You may step down. Call your next 25

witness. 1 MS. WEIRICH: State calls Mr. Mangum. 2 3 WILLIAM MANGUM 4 called as a witness, being first duly sworn, was examined and 5 testified as follows: 6 DIRECT EXAMINATION 7 BY MS. WEIRICH: 8 Good afternoon. 9 Good afternoon. 10 Α Would you please tell the jury your name? Q 11 William Mangum. 12 Α Where do you work, Mr. Mangum? 13 0 Circuit Court. Α 14 How long have you been there? 15 Q 19 years. 16 Α What are your duties and responsibilities in Circuit Q 17 18 Court? 19 Α My duty was --MR. W. BAILEY: Your Honor, we'll stipulate that 20 he's properly qualified as custodian of records. 21 THE COURT: All right, sir. 22 Where are you currently assigned, Mr. Mangum? 23 Q Circuit Court Division 2 Judge Russell's courtroom. 24 Α And do you work in Judge Russell's courtroom everyday? 25 Q

- A Yes, I do.

 Q And are you employed by Jimmy Moore the Circuit Court

 Clerk?

 A Yes.
 - Q And have you been employed by Mr. Moore for your entire duration at Circuit Court?
 - A No, I haven't.
- 8 Q Where else did you work?
- 9 A Jimmy Moore was Circuit Court Clerk and Clint Crabtree
 10 was Circuit Court Clerk before Jimmy Moore.
- 11 Q Okay. So you've always worked for the clerk just not 12 necessarily Mr. Moore?
- 13 A Correct.

6

- Q All right. You brought with you today some files from Circuit Court; is that correct?
- 16 A Right.
- 17 Q What files did you bring with you?
- 18 A Order of protection file.
- Q Let's start with that one. Is there a certain number that is unique to that file?
- 21 A Yes, it is.
- 22 0 What is it?
- 23 A 77666.
- Q And what is an order of protection?
- A An order of protection is someone been abused by their

spouse. 1 All right. They do what? 2 They come in my office when I'm doing order of Α 3 protection to get order of protection. 4 Okay. You used to do orders of protection? Q 5 6 Α Correct. Okay. How would it work? 7 If a person -- they would come in the office and then 8 we ask them questions, has it been abuse? Threatening abuse? 9 Physical abuse? Destroying property? 10 Q Okay. 11 MS. WEIRICH: Your Honor, I believe the Court has 12 Exhibit 7. 13 I do. THE COURT: 14 15 MS. WEIRICH: 7 and 8. THE COURT: 7, 8 and 9. Let me hand all of them 16 17 to you. MS. WEIRICH: Yes, sir. 18 19 0 Mr. Mangum --THE COURT: Hand them to Ms. Weirich, please. 20 I'm going to pass you what has been marked previously 21 as Exhibit 7 and 8, for identification purposes only --22 correction. I'm going to pass you Exhibits 8 and 9 right now 23 so we don't get confused. Do those documents appear to be 24 identical to the documents that you brought over contained in 25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

Circuit Court file 77666? Yes, they are. Α Okay. When was the first document executed, the one that's on top there? The top here April 19th, 1995. Α Okay. And how would that document have been executed? Q She came in to tell us what happened order of Α protection and then I would read over and ask her -- as a matter of fact, it is in her own handwriting. All right. Q MR. W. BAILEY: Your Honor, I object to hearsay. THE COURT: Overruled. MR. J. BAILEY: Thank you, Your Honor. What is in her own writing? 14 Q Α The order of protection. 15 Well, did she make up the order of protection or are 16 0 you talking about the facts that she's giving you? 17 The facts she's giving me. Α 18 Okay. She's sitting in your office with you while 19 Q 20 she's doing it? Yes, she is. 21 Α And who is the petitioner that we are talking about in 22 this case? 23 Sheila Braswell. 24 Α Okay. So you give her that document and she writes out 25 Q

what she wants to write out? 1 2 Α Right. And then what happens? Q 3 And then I read it and go over her whole order of 4 protection and make sure everything she put in the order of 5 protection reflect what -- what she might say. 6 Then what happens? 7 0 Okay. Then I would take it to the judge and get the judge to 8 Α 9 sign it. And how do you know which judge to take it to? 10 O Pull it out of slot. Α 11 It's just random; right? 12 Q Random drawing. 13 Α Which judge did this one go to? 14 0 Judge Robilio. 15 Α All right. Is that Kay Robilio? 16 Q Right. Kay Robilio. 17 What happened when you took Sheila Braswell to Judge 18 Q Kay Robilio? What do you take with you? 19 Take the order of protection, the petition, the order 20 Α of protection and the order. 21 Okay. And then what happens in the presence of Judge 22 Q Robilio? 23

A She would read it and sign it and then I would give a court date. Court date we set in 15 days.

24

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Did Judge Robilio sign that -- is it called an Q order of protection at this point or ex parte order of protection? Ex parte order of protection. Α And did Judge Robilio sign that ex parte order of Q protection? Α Yes. What day? Q May 10th, 1996. Α All right. Wait a minute. We may be getting ahead --Q I may be getting ahead of myself. The ex parte order of protection was signed on May 10th, 1996? Let's back up. Order of protection. Α Okay. Q This one is good when she come back to court. Α That one is good until she comes back to court? Q Right. And then once the judge go to court, the judge Α will sign the ex parte order of protection. Okay. So the order of protection is the first thing Q that happened? Right. Α When was the order of protection signed? Q The order of protection was signed May 10th, 1996. Α The document that Ms. Braswell would have All right.

written on and taken to Judge Robilio on April 19th when she

```
first came down to Circuit Court, do you have that in front of
1
2
      you?
        Α
             Yes.
3
             Did the judge sign anything that day?
        Q
4
             Yes, she did.
        Α
5
             What is that called?
        Q
6
             Okay. I'm sorry. We talking about the order of
7
        Α
      protection; right? Ex parte?
8
             I'm just talking about April 19th.
9
             Yes, right.
10
        Α
             What are you calling that document?
11
        0
             Order of protection.
        Α
12
             Okay. And that's signed by the judge?
13
        Q
             Right, correct.
14
        Α
              Okay. Is there a way that the defendant or the
        0
15
      respondent, rather, is notified?
16
              Yes, ma'am.
        Α
17
              How?
        0
18
              By the Sheriff's Department.
        Α
19
              Okay. And did the Sheriff's Department notify this
20
         Q
       respondent?
21
22
         Α
              Right.
                   MR. J. BAILEY: Object to hearsay, Your Honor.
23
                   THE COURT: Is it reflected on the document?
24
                   MS. WEIRICH: Yes, sir.
25
```

```
THE COURT: It's overruled.
1
             How do you know that, Mr. Mangum?
        Q
2
             Give me a minute here. Notice. Notice.
        Α
3
             Who filled out the notice?
4
        0
        Α
             I do.
5
        0
             And then who serves it?
6
             Sheriff's Department.
7
        Α
             And what does it tell -- who was the respondent in this
8
        Q
      matter?
9
             Mr. Vern G. Braswell.
10
        Α
             Vern Braswell?
11
        Q
12
        Α
             Right.
             Okay. Does the notice tell Mr. Braswell to come to
13
        Q
      court on a certain day?
14
        Α
             Correct.
15
16
             What day?
             Be a minute here. May 10th, 1996.
17
        Α
             That's the day he was supposed to come to court?
18
        Q
19
        Α
             Right.
20
              If he wants to?
        Q
21
        Α
             Right.
              Okay. So there was a court setting on May 10th, 1996?
22
        Q
23
        Α
              Correct.
              Can you tell by your documents if the respondent
24
25
       appeared for court that day?
```

A No, cannot.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

- Q All right. What happens -- let's take the scenario that the respondent does appear in court. What happens then?

 Do they go back in front of Judge Robilio?
 - A Right.
 - Q Okay. And what happens?
- A The judge will talk to both of them and then issue order of protection and tell him not to go around her for a year.
- Q Okay. Let's take the scenario that the respondent doesn't come to court. Does the petitioner still get to have an audience with Judge Robilio?
- 13 A Right.
 - O What happens then?
 - A And then the judge will issue the ex parte order of protection and then will send him a copy in the mail.
 - Q Okay. Was an ex parte order of protection issued?
- 18 A Right, correct.
- 19 Q On May 10th, 1996?
- 20 A Right.
- Q And how long is it good for?
- 22 A One year.
- Q Okay. From that date?
- 24 A Correct.
- Q All right. Is that the last activity in the file of

```
77666 from the Circuit Court Clerk's office?
1
             Correct.
        Α
2
             All right.
3
        0
                  MS. WEIRICH: Judge, at this time I would ask that
4
      Exhibits 8 and 9 for ID only be moved into evidence.
5
                   THE COURT: All right.
6
                   (Exhibit No. 8 was marked and filed.)
7
                   (Exhibit No. 9 was marked and filed.)
 8
                   MS. WEIRICH: And may they be passed to the jury?
 9
                   THE COURT: They may be.
10
                          (Jury viewed exhibits.)
11
                   THE COURT: Ms. Weirich.
12
                   MS. WEIRICH: Thank you, Your Honor.
13
             Mr. Mangum, did you also bring with you Circuit Court
14
        0
      file T003443-04?
15
              That's correct.
16
         Α
              What is contained in that file?
17
         Q
              Complaint for divorce.
18
         Α
              Filed by whom?
19
         Q
              By Ms. Sheila Braswell.
20
         Α
              Against whom?
21
         Q
              Vernon G. Braswell.
22
         Α
              When was it filed?
23
         0
              June 15th of '04.
         Α
24
              What was the final action on that file as far as the
25
         Q
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

```
Circuit Court Clerk's office is concerned?
        Α
             Order nonsuit.
             What is a nonsuit?
        0
             Nonsuit is when you bring an action against a party,
        Α
      you decide not to go through with it.
             When was the order for nonsuit entered?
        Q
        Α
             11/9/04.
             November 9th, 2004?
        0
             Correct.
        Α
             I'm going to pass you what has been marked as Exhibit 7
      for identification purposes only. Is that identical to what
      your file shows as the complaint for divorce in file
      T003443-04?
             Yes, correct.
14
        Α
                  MS. WEIRICH: Judge, at this time I'd ask that be
      moved into evidence.
                  THE COURT: All right.
17
                   (Exhibit No. 7 was marked and filed.)
18
                  MR. W. BAILEY: Would Your Honor note our
19
      objection?
20
                   THE COURT: On new grounds or the grounds we've
21
22
      already discussed?
                   MR. W. BAILEY: On grounds already discussed.
23
                   THE COURT: Certainly. It's in the record.
24
                   MS. WEIRICH: Thank you, Judge. I'll pass the
25
```

And if we could have that passed to the jury as 1 well. 2 I think we'll make 14 copies of that THE COURT: 3 so they can read it simultaneously. Would you like to do that 4 at this time? 5 MS. WEIRICH: Yes, Judge. 6 7 THE COURT: You may proceed with your 8 cross-examination while we are making copies. 9 10 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. J. BAILEY: 11 12 Mr. Mangum, with regard -- let's start with first marked exhibit the 1996 documents. Now your records don't 13 indicate that there was ever a hearing with both sides 14 15 present, does it? 16 No, no, it does not. And likewise, you can't tell us today whether or not 17 these two parties got together and decided that they were --18 19 that everything was okay and they were going to move forward 20 with their lives in their marriage, can you? 21 No, I cannot. Α 22 And you just have some cold paper here. You don't know 0 23 the background surrounding this paper, do you? No, I do not. 24 Α Also, let me ask you this question. The order that's

25

Q

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

done -- that's a form. That wasn't filled out by -- that wasn't created by any lawyers, was it? That's a form that you fill in the blanks, isn't it? Actually, only thing that's fill in the blank was her address and her name, that's it. Okay. Let me -- let me refer you directly to what I'm talking about. THE COURT: You may approach the witness. MR. J. BAILEY: Okay. Thanks. Let me step back, Judge. With regards to the ex parte order of protection, now explain to the jury one more time. You've been with the Circuit Court quite a while; isn't that right? Correct. Α And what does ex parte mean? Ex parte order of protection means that person get Α order of protection good for one year. Ex parte order of protection is when they come in and the judge sign it. Ex parte means that only one side is present, doesn't Q it? Not exactly, no, it's not. Now the petition for order of protection. I'm going to Q show this to you. I'm sorry, not the petition. The order of protection. MR. J. BAILEY: May I approach, Your Honor?

THE COURT: Sure. 1 Once again I ask you, is that not just a form with the 2 Q name Vern Braswell is filled in? 3 That's correct. 4 All that other stuff is just standard, which means that 5 Q every single one of those reads exactly the same way, doesn't 6 7 it? No. 8 Α It doesn't matter who comes in? 9 Q MS. WEIRICH: If he could answer, Judge. 10 Α No. 11 Then tell me what is handwritten on each line? 12 Let's back up. The protective order of protection, 13 that's from when the person -- he or she comes in and fills 14 out --15 Listen to me. I'm sorry. I want to make sure you 16 answer my question. 17 Go ahead. 18 Α MR. J. BAILEY: May I approach one more time, 19 Judge. 20 My question to you is with regards to the ex parte 21 order of protection, all right, not the petition but the 22 judge's order, isn't it true that that's a form where all you 23 24 quys do is fill in the person's name? 25 Α Correct.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Every one of those orders reads exactly the same way. Q All you do is put in a name; right? You put in the respondent's name; is that correct? Yeah. Α They don't read differently according to the facts. 0 Each order reads exactly the same, doesn't it? Α No. Then tell me who filled out the rest of that, other than the name Vern Braswell? Who typed that up? The ex parte order of protection -- ex parte order of Α protection we the one type that up. Okay. And you're telling me that you don't have a form that you all just fill in the name? That's not a form where the name is just filled in? It is. Α And so if I go find 20 ex parte orders of protection over there, every single one of them is going to read just like that one, aren't they? Yes, the ex parte will. Α All right. Now let me refer to the 2005, the divorce Q papers that were filed. Α 2004? I'm sorry, 4. Sorry. Plaintiff's counsel on those Q papers was Mr. Dennis Sossaman; isn't that correct? Α Correct.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

0

Now you don't know -- you aren't here today to tell us Q whether or not these parties went to Mr. Sossaman and decided not to get a divorce, are you? Α No. You don't know that, do you? Q Α No. Likewise, am I correct that there was no response filed 0 within 30 days? Yes -- no, correct. Α All right. And I know you're not a lawyer and I'm not 0 asking you a legal question, just in terms of the record. Am I correct that there's no request for a default judgment, is there? Application. Α There's a motion for default? 0 I don't see a motion here, no, I don't. Α Okay. And do you show an order of default judgment? Q No. Α Do you show whether or not in 2004 there was an order Q of protection issued? A No. In fact, was there an order ordering Mr. Braswell to Q leave the home? Α No. You all do those, don't you?

A	I don't.
Q	You work in Division 2 of Circuit Court?
A	Correct.
Q	You've often seen the judge and you've taken documents
to the	e judge for the judge to sign where people are ordered to
leave	the home for their own protection, haven't you?
A	Correct, yes.
Q	Was there one in this case?
A	No.
Q	Now in 2004, with regards to the documents you brought
with y	ou, you don't know anything about the truth of those
papers	s, do you?
A	No.
	MS. WEIRICH: Objection, Your Honor.
	THE COURT: Overruled. Go ahead.
	MR. J. BAILEY: Thank you.
Q	You don't know that, do you?
A	No.
Q	You are just here as keeper of the records to tell us
what's	s in your record; is that right?
A	Correct.
Q	All right. And who was defense counsel?
A	I don't see one.
Q	And the parties didn't move forward with that divorce,
did tl	ney?
	Q A Q to the leave A Q with y papers A Q what's A Q A Q vhat's

- 1 A No, they did not.
- 2 Q Other than the initial filing; is that correct?
- 3 A Right.
- 4 O Nothing else after that, is there?
- 5 A According to my records, no.
- 6 Q You brought the full record; right?
- 7 A Right.
- Q You were subpoenaed or the clerk was subpoenaed and
- 9 you've come on behalf of Mr. Jimmy Moore; right?
- 10 A Right.
- 11 Q And you brought us that record; right?
- 12 A Right.
- Q And there's nothing done after that filing, was there?
- 14 A No.
- 15 Q And that filing was in June; is that correct?
- 16 A Correct.
- 17 Q Do you show anything filed in July, any action at all?
- 18 A No.
- 19 Q Any action at all in August?
- 20 A No.
- 21 Q September?
- 22 A No.
- 23 Q October?
- 24 | A No.
- Q And then in November you show the matter dismissed; is

1	A	He didn't answer to the complaint for divorce. He
2	didn't	answer.
3	Q	Who didn't answer?
4	A	Mr. Braswell.
5	Q	Okay. So that's just something that's filed as what?
6	A	Record of court.
7	Q	I'm sorry, as what?
8	A	Court records.
9	Q	Okay. And who was it filed by?
10	A	Dennis Sossaman.
11	Q	All right. And filed with the Clerk's Office; correct?
12	A	Correct.
13	Q	And then the next entry in that file, am I correct, is
14	the vo	luntary nonsuit of November 9th, 2004?
15	A	Right.
16	Q	All right. Thank you.
17		MS. WEIRICH: Nothing further.
18		MR. J. BAILEY: May I redirect, Judge?
19		THE COURT: Sure.
20		
21		RECROSS EXAMINATION
22	BY MR.	J. BAILEY:
23	Q	Of your experience with the clerk's office, I would
24	and ag	ain, you've been in the clerk's office for a while and
25	you've	seen many divorces; is that correct?

Correct. Α 1 And you've assisted in the filing and the setting of Q 2 many cases, haven't you? 3 Α Right. 4 Fair to say hundreds? Q 5 Α Thousands. 6 Thousands? 7 0 Α Yeah. 8 Now, when a lawyer files a motion or petition or 9 0 whatever language that lawyer chooses to use for default 10 judgment, isn't it true that that lawyer can put that thing on 11 the docket within the next five to ten days? 12 13 Α Correct. Was it done in this case? 14 Not to my records it wasn't. 15 Α MR. J. BAILEY: All right then. No further 16 questions. 17 THE COURT: You may step down. And we'll pass the 18 copies of the divorce to the jury. 19 (Jury viewed exhibit.) 20 THE COURT: All right. If you will pass them back 21 down to the end, please. You may call your next witness. 22 MS. CARNESALE: Your Honor, the State calls Dr. 23 24 Joye Carter. 25

DOCTOR CARTER 1 called as a witness, being first duly sworn, was examined and 2 testified as follows: 3 DIRECT EXAMINATION 4 BY MS. CARNESALE: 5 Good afternoon. Will you please state and spell your 6 Q name for the record? 7 My name is Joye Maureen Carter. First name J-O-Y-E. 8 Middle name M-A-U-R-E-E-N. Last name C-A-R-T-E-R. 9 Dr. Carter, what is your occupation? 10 0 I'm a forensic pathologist. Α 11 How long have you been a forensic pathologist? 12 Q Over 20 years. 13 Α Where are you currently employed? 14 Q I have my own business in Petersburg, Virginia. Α 15 And did you fly down particularly for this trial? 16 Yes, I did. 17 Α What do you do in Virginia with your business? What 18 0 type of business is it? 19 I do forensic pathology consulting. 20 Α How long have you been so employed? 21 Q Three years. 22 Α Were you working as a forensic pathologist in Memphis 23 Q in 2004? 24 25 Α Yes, I was.

Q If you would, if you'd tell the jury your background and training to be a forensic pathologist?

A Certainly. I'm a medical physician. I attended college at Wittenberg University in Springfield, Ohio. I attended medical school at Howard University in Washington D.C. Upon finishing medical school, I went to New York City to study internal medicine for one year. Upon completing that I returned to Washington D.C. to do a combined residency in pathology in anatomical and clinical pathology. Upon completing that I went to Miami, Florida to do a fellowship in --

MR. W. BAILEY: Your Honor, I think we can expedite matters by stipulating that Dr. Carter is qualified.

THE COURT: Thank you. You may proceed though, if you care to, her explanation.

MS. CARNESALE: I'd like for the jury to hear Dr. Carter's background.

THE COURT: Sure. You may proceed.

A Upon completing my residency, I went to Miami, Florida to study forensic pathology at the Dade County Medical Examiner's Office. And I was an active duty Air Force member the whole time in medical school. I went full-time active duty at the Air Force, passed my boards in anatomical and clinical pathology and forensic pathology as a full-time medical examiner for the federal government.

O What exactly is forensic pathology?

A Forensic pathology is a specialty area of pathology. Pathology itself is the study of disease and how the body functions. And that is one of the main courses of study in medical school. Forensic pathology, you add on how injuries cause death in medical legal investigation. It's one of the few non-hospital based medical specialties where you actually work with police, detectives, insurance companies outside of a medical institution to determine how a person has died in a particular location, whether it's under federal government, state, county or city regulations.

- Q And as a forensic pathologist, are you called to testify as an expert in that area in criminal trials?
 - A Yes, I am.
 - Q Have you done so before?
- 16 A Yes, I have.
- 17 | Q Few or many times?

- Q Do you have an idea of how many times you have testified as an expert in this area?
- A Well over 500 times.

MS. CARNESALE: Your Honor, at this time we'd tender Dr. Carter as an expert in the area of forensic pathology.

THE COURT: Right. And I assume you stipulate as

you indicated before?

MR. W. BAILEY: No objection.

THE COURT: You may proceed.

Q Now, Dr. Carter, as one of your duties as a forensic pathologist, do you conduct autopsies?

A Yes.

Q What is an autopsy?

A That is the pathologist's tool since we do not have the ability to talk with patients. What we do is examine their body very thoroughly in a forensic autopsy, which is called a postmortem examination. The entire body is examined.

The first part of the examination is called the external where the body is looked at, the way it is presented to the medical examiner. It is photographed the way it comes in. If there are any noticeable injuries, wound tracts, moles or scars, those are documented in notes or dictated into a form. Photographs are taken when the body is undressed.

Then the second part of the autopsy is the internal examination where all of the body cavities are to be entered and the organs examined, weighed, described as to their appearance, whether it's normal or abnormal, any disease that is there. And then specimens are taken from body fluids from toxicology to see if there is any drug or alcohol or any type of poison in the body. And all of those findings are created into a document, which is transcribed by secretary and then

25

MS. CARNESALE: Your Honor, I'd ask that be marked

as the next State's Exhibit. 1 MR. W. BAILEY: No objection. 2 THE COURT: 31. 3 (Exhibit No. 31 was marked and filed.) 4 Dr. Carter, when you received Ms. Braswell's body, had 5 Q you been given any information as to possible cause of death? 6 Yes, I had. Α 7 What was that information? 0 8 That she had drowned in the bathtub accidentally. 9 Α And what is the first thing you do when you conduct an 10 Q 11 autopsy? I review all available information and then I look at 12 13 the body. Is that what you did in this matter? 14 Q Yes. 15 Α What did you observe when you looked at Ms. Braswell? 16 I looked at the body, I found some injuries to the 17 Α When I examined the eyes, I found a lot of hemorrhages neck. 18 in the whites of the eyes. 19 Did they signify anything to you as a forensic 20 pathologist? 21 Yes. 22 Α What did this signify? 23 0 That this was not an accidental drowning. Α 24 Why is that? 25 0

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A

This is the photograph of the face of Ms. Braswell.

The eyelids have been pulled upward to show the large amount

of hemorrhage in the whites of the eyes.

- Q That you were just describing a moment ago?
- A That's correct.

MS. CARNESALE: Your Honor, we'd ask that be marked the next State's Exhibit 32.

THE COURT: All right.

(Exhibit No. 32 was marked and filed.)

MS. CARNESALE: Judge, may I approach the witness?

THE COURT: You may.

- Q Dr. Carter, I'm going to display this on our DOAR equipment. And if you would look at the Judge's screen if you can see it. If you would --
 - MS. CARNESALE: In fact, Judge, may she step down?
 THE COURT: She may. You may step down.
- Q Dr. Carter, it might be more beneficial to the jury if you could point here on the photograph and describe what you're seeing for the jury. Could you specify where the damage in the eyes that you saw were?
- A Okay. This is the right eye that my index finger is on, if you can see that. Can you?
 - Q We can. We can. I'm sorry.

A In this upper area it's very dark. This is hemorrhage, subconjunctival hemorrhage. The white of the eye is lined by a very thin film called conjunctiva. And there's hemorrhage below that. In fact, it's bulging out at the inner portion of

the right eye where my finger is at the bottom, if you can see that.

On the left eye you'll also see where you have hemorrhage on the whites of the eye, bulging out in the bottom of the -- at the lower eyelid in the outer portion and the inner portion of the eyelid. This is subconjunctival hemorrhage.

Q Okay. And were you able to see those before the eyelids were lifted?

A When I -- part of the examination is looking at the eyes. And when you first pull back the eyelids to look, you see that there are small petechiae and then these larger areas of blood.

Q What are petechiae?

A Petechiae are pinpoint hemorrhages, the tiniest vessels in the body called capillaries are the tiniest vessels where oxygen is exchanged at the cellular level. And increase in pressure will burst these tiny vessels and that's what petechiae hemorrhages are. They mean pinpoint hemorrhages.

- Q When they burst, that becomes a petechiae?
- A That's correct.
- Q Is it only in the eye?
- A It's not only in the eye. They are commonly seen in the eye because the white of the eye is light, but you can have petechiae that occur on the skin and in other organs.

Did you see petechiae other than in her eyes on Ms. Q 1 Braswell? 2 Yes, I did. 3 Α Where did you see that? Q 4 All over her face. Α 5 0 Okay. 6 On the lining of the lips and the gum. And actually, Α 7 looking on parts of the neck you can see petechiae. And then 8 on the inside of the body, the actual trachea where we breathe 9 through, (indiscernible) petechia lining the larynx and the 10 internal portions of the neck. 11 What causes petechiae, which is the bursting of the 12 capillaries? 13 Usually from increased pressure. 14 Α Can you give us an example? Such as? 15 Well, such as someone applying pressure to the neck or 16 a ligature tied around the neck or perhaps a person is 17 compressed between two hard objects, like underneath a car or 18 someone leans over and gets stuck between a washer and a 19 20 dryer. Okay. Thank you. And before you take your seat, one 21 more question. I know you probably want to sit down. 22 fluid we see -- or I call it fluid -- the stuff on Ms. 23 Braswell's face, what is that? 24 The red material that's streaming down her face is 25 Α

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

coming out of the nose and the mouth and that is called pulmonary edema fluid. Did that come out of her nose? It usually comes out of the nose and comes out of the Α mouth because they are connected inside of the body. And if you would take your seat. 0 MS. CARNESALE: Your Honor, may I approach? THE COURT: You may. Dr. Carter, I'm going to pass forward two more Q photographs and ask if you would identify what those are pictures of. Do you recognize what's in those pictures? Yes, I do. Α What are they? 0 These are high magnifications of the eyes, the right Α and left eye. And do they also depict the burst hemorrhages in the Q eyeball of the petechiae? Yes, they do. Α Do you see anything else of significance in those Q photographs? Well, you not only have the petechiae, you have what we call confluence of petechiae, where there are so many that just form one large lump of blood. These photographs were taken to show the extent of the bleeding in the whites of the eye.

Would those also result from pressure? 1 0 Α Yes, they do. 2 MS. CARNESALE: Your Honor, if those could be 3 marked and admitted collectively as Exhibit 33. 4 THE COURT: All right. Exhibit 33. 5 (Exhibit No. 33 was marked and filed.) 6 Now, Dr. Carter, you stated that you also saw petechiae 7 0 around the mouth or inside the mouth of Ms. Braswell? 8 9 Α Yes. I'm going to pass forward another photograph to you, 10 0 two pictures. Do those photographs depict what you were 11 12 referring to? 13 Yes, they do. Α What is the difference in the two pictures? 14 0 They're the same photograph. One is a higher 15 Α magnification of the other, showing it's a close-up. 16 17 0 Okay. MS. CARNESALE: Your Honor, if we could mark those 18 and introduce those as the next State's Exhibit collective. 19 20 THE COURT: Okay. 21 (Exhibit No. 34 was marked and filed.) 22 Could you just explain to the jury what you are 0 23 referring to in the photograph? 24 This is showing the inside of the upper lip and the gum, the central teeth. That little piece right in the 25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

middle is called the frenulum where the lip is attached to the gum line, where we usually look for bottle injuries in infants. That area has small petechiae or small pinpoint hemorrhages on it. The redness that I see, is that what that means? That central area that is discolored in the Α Yes. Judge's screen, those are tiny hemorrhages. Okay. And also in the other portion of the exhibit, is that just a further away shot of the same thing? Yes, it is. Α Okay. Now, Dr. Carter, I believe you also stated that you observed something unusual to her neck? Yes. Α From the outside, the external? That is correct. Α Let me pass forward a photograph to you. Does that picture accurately depict what you observed on her neck when you conducted the autopsy? Α Yes. Could you describe what you see in the picture? What you have on this part of the neck are some curved contusions. I call them curve linear in my report but there is some shape to them. There's redness on the neck. center you have some areas that are curved. They go around

like -- they're oval shaped contusions or bruises.

MS. CARNESALE: Your Honor, we'd ask that be 1 marked State's Exhibit 35. 2 THE COURT: Okay. 3 (Exhibit No. 35 was marked and filed.) 4 MS. CARNESALE: May I approach? 5 THE COURT: You may. 6 Again, Doctor, I will display it on the screen. If you 7 Q would, if you don't mind, will you step down and point it out 8 to the jury? Where in the photograph are the contusions? 9 You see this area of red along here. You have an 10 outline of an oval shape. You have red in this upper right 11 corner of the photograph. You have some red on the left side. 12 Thank you. You may take your seat. Do you have any 13 idea what could cause that type of contusion on a neck? 14 That pattern is more consistent with manual, with hands 15 Α placed around the neck. 16 As opposed to an object? 17 0 That's correct. 18 Α 19 Q Did you in fact find an object around Ms. Braswell's 20 neck when you autopsied her? 21 Yes. I pass forward a photograph to you. Is this the object 22 you found around her neck? 23 24 Α Yes, it is. MS. CARNESALE: Your Honor, we'd ask that be 25

marked State's Exhibit No. 36. 1 THE COURT: All right. 2 (Exhibit No. 36 was marked and filed.) 3 MS. CARNESALE: May I approach? 4 THE COURT: You may. 5 It's a necklace; right, Dr. Carter? 0 6 Yes, it is. Α 7 The contusions depicted in Exhibit No. 35, could they 0 8 in your opinion have been caused by that necklace? 9 No. 10 Α Why not? 11 Q Because of the shape of the contusions and the shape 12 and size of the chain link of the necklace. 13 The chain is too thin? 14 0 The chain is too thin. 15 Thank you. Now, Dr. Carter, after you examined her 16 externally, what is the next step you do in an autopsy or you 17 did in this matter? 18 The next step is the internal examination. 19 Can you describe that for the jury? 20 Yes. That is where the body cavities are opened and 21 the skin underlying muscle and fat tissue is separated. You 22 look for any changes to that tissue. Then the rib cage is 23 examined for any fractures, any abnormalities. Then you open 24 up the rib cage, look at the internal organs in the chest, the 25

heart and the lungs.

Q Did you observe anything of significance when you looked at Ms. Braswell internally?

A The most significant findings were in the neck area, actually.

Q And did you bring anything with you today to assist you in demonstrating to the jury what you found?

A Yes, I did.

MS. CARNESALE: Your Honor, I'd ask that she be allowed to bring out her object.

THE COURT: She may, certainly.

Q Can you tell the jury what that is and what you found with relation to Ms. Braswell?

A This is actually an enlarged model of the internal structures of the neck. This is what we call the Adam's apple in a male. This is the thyroid cartilage. This is the hyoid bone that is literally up underneath our chin. This is the thyroid gland that goes across the front of the neck. This part extending down is the trachea that goes into the lungs for air. And when I hold it like this, we're looking at the inside of the throat area where we get our voice and where we swallow food and get air into our lungs, and this is called the pharynx. This is where food goes into this back part down into the stomach and the esophagus. This part is called the epiglottis. And this folds over to keep food from going into

our lungs. And this part of the throat is what lays against the cervical spine. So this is the front and this is the back of the neck.

Q When you examined Ms. Braswell in this particular area of her neck, what did you find?

A Well, what I did, to explain, is there's a procedure in forensics called "a layer-wise neck dissection." What holds the head to the neck are several groups of thick muscles. And I dissected the layer step by step and photographed that, and I found among the layers of muscle multiple areas of hemorrhage where there was blood within the muscle tissue. I then found there was hemorrhage in the pharynx where the food goes down into the esophagus and stomach. This part. There were petechial hemorrhages all throughout the lining of the epiglottis and the inside of the larynx where our voice box is. I did not find that the hyoid bone was fractured. And the thyroid cartilage was not fractured.

But when I took a sharp knife and opened up the thyroid cartilage, there was hemorrhage within that cartilaginous tissue. This is not bone. It's a little more flexible than bone, but there was blood within that.

- Q Did you take photographs or were photographs taken?
- A I took many photographs of this area.
- Q I'm going to pass forward several photographs to you, ask you to take a look at those. And if you would, if you see

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

photographs that depict what you just described of the different hemorrhages that you found if you would --I'm sorry, I didn't hear your last part. If you would let me know. In that first photograph, what did you see? This is the early part of the neck dissection and there Α are areas that look black, but that's areas of hemorrhage in the strap muscles of the neck. And on yourself if you would, what area are you talking about? Well, I'm talking about this area. And if you actually put your fingers right below your ear, you'll feel the larger strap muscle, called the sternocleidomastoid muscle. It's the largest muscle that goes from behind the ear down to the clavicle bone. I pulled that back and there are about six layers underneath that and there are areas of hemorrhage in those layers on the right and left sides of the neck. Is hemorrhage, is that bleeding? Q Yes, that's where blood has left the blood vessels and is out in the tissue. MS. CARNESALE: Your Honor, I'd ask that that photograph be marked as State's Exhibit No. 37. THE COURT: All right. (Exhibit No. 37 was marked and filed.) Dr. Carter, I believe you stated there were six layers

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Α

Yes.

of muscle in the neck; is that right? Α Yes. Was there hemorrhaging under all six of the layers? There was patchy hemorrhaging, yes, under all the Α layers in various sections of the neck. The muscles are very broad. MS. CARNESALE: Your Honor, may I approach the witness? Dr. Carter, I'm going to display what was previously marked State's Exhibit No. 37 so the jury can see what we're talking about. And if you don't mind, if you would step down and just point to where the hemorrhages are in the photograph. You have an area of hemorrhage in this muscle where my finger is. This dark area is hemorrhage. You have hemorrhage here actually just in the fat underneath the skin, would be right in the front of the neck in the midline area. You have two larger areas of hemorrhage that look black in these two areas on the right side of the neck. Q Thank you. If you would take your seat. What would cause such hemorrhaging throughout the layers of muscle in the neck? Blunt trauma to the neck. That disrupts the integrity of the muscles. Would that be consistent with a strangulation? Q

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Now again, if you would look back at the other Q photographs that you -- do you see other photographs that depict the injuries that you observed to Ms. Braswell? Yes, I do. Α Okay. And just feel free to choose in whatever order O you choose, describe for the jury what you find. There are two pictures that are actually the same thing, and it's showing the left side of the neck with the muscle tissue with multiple areas of hemorrhage that appear The area is covered by surgical towel to focus on the black. area of injury of the strap muscle. And it's on the left side of the neck? Α Yes. MS. CARNESALE: Your Honor, we'd ask those be marked collectively, please. THE COURT: All right. (Exhibit No. 38 was marked and filed.) MS. CARNESALE: May I publish those to the jury? THE COURT: You may. Show these one at a time, Dr. Carter. You stated this is a towel surrounding that area of the neck? That's a blue surgical towel. Α Just to highlight what we're looking at. And is this the same injuries from a different angle? That's correct. The same area, the left side of the Α

neck. 1 Okay. Taking a look at the next photograph you have. 2 Q What did you observe? 3 We have two photographs. This is showing the back of 4 the neck organs. Again, that would be right in front of the 5 cervical spine on the right side. We have some large 6 hemorrhages in the soft tissue going into the pharynx where the food goes down into the esophagus. And we have a 8 photograph that is a high magnification blowup and then we 9 have one that's a smaller magnification. 10 Of this same injury? 11 12 Yes. MS. CARNESALE: Your Honor, may we mark that as 13 State's Exhibit No. 39 collective. 14 THE COURT: All right. 15 (Exhibit No. 39 was marked and filed.) 16 MS. CARNESALE: May I publish those to the jury? 17 18 THE COURT: You may. 19 Q Dr. Carter, we see a specimen number on there. does that refer to? 20 That's the case number in the records that are kept at 21 the Regional Forensic Center in Memphis. 22 Is that case number specific to the autopsy for Sheila 23 Q 24 Braswell? 25 Yes, it is.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

That's the one photograph and then here is the other of the exhibit. Again, those are the hemorrhages inside the layers of muscle in the neck? That is actually on the back of the internal neck structures. So that wouldn't be muscle, I guess, back there? Q No, that's soft tissue. Α Okay. And again, the question I asked you before, would all of these be caused by pressure to that area? Yes. Α Do you have another photograph in your hand? Yes, I do. Α And what is that a picture of? 0 This is again showing the back of the neck structures. There's forceps on the right side of the thyroid cartilage and it's showing hemorrhage right in this area in the soft tissue of the pharynx where the food goes through the mouth down into the esophagus. And that's what this picture is showing the entire organ on the back. MS. CARNESALE: Judge, may we mark that and introduce that as State's Exhibit No. 40. THE COURT: All right. (Exhibit No. 40 was marked and filed.) MS. CARNESALE: May I publish that? THE COURT: You may.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Α

Just in the bottom of the right-hand corner we see the 0 edge of the forceps; is that correct? That's correct. Α You've actually removed the neck now, it's separate? 0 That is correct. And after you do that and have it photographed, what do 0 you do with the neck? Well, on this particular case the neck was fixed in a formalin solution. And it was then again examined. Some of the soft tissue was removed for microscopic examination, and that particular organ was also evaluated by the anthropologist on staff at the office. Why do you do that? Why do you put it in the solution and have all of that removed? That's part of the documentation process that a forensic pathologist does. You not only describe what you have, you document it on diagrams. You document it in photographs. You also document the injured area by looking at microscopic sections and determining whether or not you have a recent injury or an older injury. How would this injury be classified? Q Very recent. Α When you say "very recent," would it have been instantaneous with death?

It's not instantaneous with death.

several-minute process. But you can tell by the type of blood cells that are there, microscopically how old the injury is.

Not exactly like they do on TV but within a reasonable amount of hours.

- Q And what time period did you give this injury?
- A The injury occurred more than likely over several minutes time. And the blood cells that were there microscopically were all red blood cells. An older injury would have white blood cells that would come into the area to help the body heal.
- Q And how long does it take for white blood cells to come to the area?
 - A Several hours.

1.0

- Q Now when you put the neck in the solution and remove the tissue, did you find any other injuries or make any other observations when that was done?
- A Once the neck organs were fixed in formalin, this area was opened completely up. Where I have the tape, this actually comes apart to demonstrate the inside. And I cut that open and show that there were petechiae throughout the entire internal structures, our voice box, our vocal folds, vocal cords going down into the trachea just a (indiscernible) covered with these tiny petechia.
- Q Different from the hemorrhages that we saw in all the photographs we just looked at?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

24

25

That's correct. Α Did you have photographs taken of those injuries? Q I certainly did. I'm going to pass forward two pictures to you. Are Q these photographs of what you're describing? Α Yes, they are. Looking at them separately the first photograph, what Q is that? The first photograph is again showing this back portion of the neck structures. It's showing this part, the epiglottis at the top that has petechiae on it. It's showing in just a little bit different detail that there are hemorrhages on the -- in the pharynx area on both right and left sides on the back of the neck structures. And this is after all the tissue has been stripped 15 Q 16 away? 17 It's not all been stripped away. This is after the tissue has been removed to look at it under the microscope. 18 19 And this organ has been put into formalin tissue so it has a little bit of a color change because of what the formalin does 20 21 to preserve the tissue. What is formalin? Is it solution? 22 Ιf It's a solution. It's actually a gas in solution. 23 you've heard of formaldehyde, it's formaldehyde gas in a

liquid solution so it's not as strong but it does keep the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

tissue from deteriorating. So it's a preservative for the tissue? Yes, it is. MS. CARNESALE: Your Honor, may we mark that and introduce that as the next exhibit, please. (Exhibit No. 41 was marked and filed.) MS. CARNESALE: May we publish that? THE COURT: You may. Dr. Carter, if you would step down again and point to the jury where you observed the petechiae? This is what I showed you on the model. This is the Α flap that tries to prevent food from getting into the respiratory tract. These dots here are where you have small hemorrhages. This is what I showed in the other photograph, these dark areas here, which is on the right side of the neck, and these areas here -- there's more than one on the left side and this is where you have this hemorrhage that is gutting out of the blood vessels and into the muscular tissue. And I believe you have another photograph up there. Can you take a look at that? This is a photograph showing that previous specimen completely opened. This is showing where you have hemorrhage

on the lining of the larynx. There's an area actually where

folds. And also you see within the cartilage, you see areas

our voice comes from, called the vocal cords and the vocal

of hemorrhage within the cartilage which makes up the thyroid cartilage, this blue area. You can see there's hemorrhage in the area where it has been cut open.

Q Hemorrhage is different than petechiae; correct?

A Yes. Hemorrhage signifies you have a lot more. It's more and a lot larger than pinpoint.

MS. CARNESALE: Your Honor, we'd ask that be marked State's Exhibit No. 42.

THE COURT: All right.

(Exhibit No. 42 was marked and filed.)

MS. CARNESALE: May I publish it?

THE COURT: You may.

Q Dr. Carter, if you would again step down and point to what you are referring to.

A These are neck structures open. This dark area here is actually where our voice comes from. This upper part of the vocal folds and beneath where my fingertip is on both sides are the vocal cords, where we get our voice from. This is the lining of the larynx, which is formed by the thyroid cartilage. This has petechial hemorrhages that have formed together, making larger areas of blood here. And then where I have my finger, this is the inside of the thyroid cartilage. And you can see on both sides there is blood within that tissue.

Q Okay. Thank you. Dr. Carter, based upon everything

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

you observed throughout the autopsy of Sheila Braswell, were you able to determine her cause of death? Α Yes. And what was that? 0 Asphyxiated manual strangulation. Α What is manual strangulation? Q That is where the hands are used to apply pressure to Α the structures of the neck. Why did you determine that that was the cause of death? The pattern of injury on the neck is not consistent Α with a ligature being used where a strap or a chain or some implement or belt has been stretched across the neck. pattern finding the multiple areas of bleeding in the muscle tissue is more consistent with hands being around the neck and causing tissue injury. How long would it take someone to be manually strangled? It takes several minutes. Α Can you give us more specific? Well, I can give you a range. From the textbook Α usually three to seven minutes the brain can be without oxygen. And there's no precise way to say exactly because

that would -- you would have to injure a human being. But we

know the brain can be without oxygen for that period of time,

and it's caused by the pressure around the neck that prevents

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the blood flow and oxygen getting to the brain that needs it. So a minimum of three but a maximum of seven according to the textbook? That's correct. Would that be constant pressure on the neck? It's constant pressure. Α And is the injuries that you saw in her eyes, all the Q petechiae and the hemorrhaging that you saw throughout her neck, is that consistent with constant pressure being applied to her neck? That's correct. It's a result of that pressure causing those blood vessels to rupture. Would it cause death immediately after that time period three to seven minutes? By that time period you have irreversible damage to the brain and the neurons have died from lack of oxygen. What would a person be feeling as they are manually strangled? Well, everyone is an individual and the brain is what controls the heart rate and controls the breathing and the body temperature. And there are various levels that one can restrict its blood flow before you get to a point where it's irreversible. The earliest sign is euphoria, a feeling of feeling good and light-hearted. And then you have various

other syndromes that are particular to the individual.

O Such as?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

A Well, some individuals urinate on themselves or they defecate because that is loss of control by the central nervous system. Some may fight. Some may scream. It really depends on what we know about the situation.

- Q When you autopsied Ms. Braswell, did you measure her and weigh her?
 - A Yes.
 - Q What was her height and weight?
- A Her weight was 125 pounds. And the height was 58 and one-half inches from the top of the head to the heel.
- 12 | Q Which is?
 - A Which is just a little under five feet.
 - Q A little under five feet, okay. Now this case came to you as a possible drowning?
 - A Yes, it did.
- 17 | Q Could this have been a drowning?
 - A In my opinion no.
- 19 Q Why is that?
 - A Because of the severe injuries to the neck.
 - Q Did you see any indications when you autopsied her that would indicate a drowning?
 - A There was some indication that there was fluid.

 Through the dark fluid in the stomach, we do examine and

measure the stomach contents. And the lungs were just

slightly heavy.

Q What does that mean?

A They are roughly -- the average lungs in a woman of this size usually 250 to 300 grams. Her lungs were a little heavier than that, 300 -- let me just refresh my memory so I'm -- the right left lungs were 393 and 80 grams. Usually, they're heavy when a lot of water has been taken on. But what the pathologist needs to do in this case is determine why a person may have ingested water or taken on water, what caused them to do that. Someone who would be in a bathtub, you would either be under the influence of drugs or injured in some way or perhaps have a mental deficit. Otherwise, they just didn't stand up out of the water. So something has occurred.

When you find an injury, then you usually look at what has caused the person to not be able to get out of that situation. It's not like a person that's in a pool, swimming pool that cannot swim as someone who could have stood up, they've begun to take on water. Their senses would be alert. Their brain would say you're in danger, you need to get up and stand up.

Q So she had some fluid in her lungs. Was there enough -- and you say more than you would expect to see in a woman her size; is that fair to say?

A Yes.

Q Was it enough to have caused her to drown?

A In my opinion no.

- Q Based on the amount found in the lungs?
- A Based on the size and shape of the lungs.
 - Q Okay. Now is it possible that she could have been strangled in water, which caused the water to go into her lungs?
 - A That's entirely possible.
 - Q I'm sure, Dr. Carter, you're familiar with the term "rigor mortis"?
 - A Yes, I am.
 - Q Can you explain to the jury what that is?
 - A Rigor mortis is the stiffening of the body that occurs after death. And it's usually due to the (indiscernible) of a lactic acid in the muscle tissue. It begins within a couple of hours of death and forms in the head-to-toe direction in the first 36 hours of death.
 - Q Does it begin any earlier than an hour or two after death?
 - A Well, it -- it can begin earlier in individuals who have had serious burns from a fire or a person that is engaged in a lot of physical activity right before death, like they were running or if they have an extreme infection where the body temperature is already elevated.
 - Q Is there anything that you know about Ms. Sheila
 Braswell that would have indicated that her body would have

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Α

Yes, it was.

gone into rigor mortis faster than an hour or two after death? Α No. Did you observe rigor mortis? I observed some early forms by the time the examination Α had begun at nine. It had been, I believe, almost six hours since the body was discovered. And right at six hours you begin to get some stiffening. Do you recall where you saw the stiffening? There was some early stiffening in the extremities. Α But where I look for stiffening that's formed from the muscles themselves is usually the circular muscles that are around the eyes and around the mouth. And there was not fixed rigor mortis in my opinion. Based on what you found in the autopsy, are you able to Q tell this jury approximately what time she died? Α From everything that I was able to review, certainly close to the time that the body was found, within give or take one or two hours. We cannot be precise to the exact time of death. I pass forward another photograph to you, Dr. Carter. Can you describe what you see in that picture? This is a photograph of Ms. Braswell's feet. Α And was that picture taken with you present during the Q autopsy?

2

3

4

5

6

7

25

Why did you take a picture of her feet? Because of the history that was given by the police Α officers that she had been found in a body of water, had been there for some time. I did not feel that she had adequate what we call washer-woman effect of the skin of the feet. And for the jury, what is washer-woman effect? 0 Washer-woman is that wrinkling that you get on your Α fingers and on your toes. And that's from water soaking in, 8 in-between the layers of skin. And usually occurs within 15 9 to 20 minutes of being in water and usually see it on your 10 hands and on your feet. It will also form in the dead body as 11 12 well. So even if she had died and then lay in the bathtub for 13 Q a long time, you'd still expect to see washer-woman effect on 14 the feet? 15 Α That's correct. 16 And do you see much, if any, in that photograph? 17 0 Α No. 18 19 MS. CARNESALE: Your Honor, may we mark that State's Exhibit No. 43. 2.0 THE COURT: You may. All right. 21 (Exhibit No. 43 was marked and filed.) 22 MS. CARNESALE: May I publish it, Judge? 23 24 THE COURT: You may.

Dr. Carter, if you would just look at the Judge's

We see some wrinkling on the bottom of her feet. Is 1 that what you're talking about? 2 We don't see That's very, very minimal. 3 wrinkling on the toes. And part of that foot is more of the 4 posturing of the body when the central nervous system is not 5 intact. 6 So the smooth area of the upper part of the foot, 7 0 that's where you would expect to see the washer-woman effect? 8 That's correct. Α 9 Thank you. Dr. Carter, was she tested for alcohol or 10 0 drugs? 11 Yes, that's part of a complete autopsy. 12 Α And what were the results of those toxicology reports? 13 0 No drugs, no alcohol were found in the body, specimens 14 Α 15 that were tested for drugs and alcohol. At all? 16 Q At all. 17 Α I'm going to pass forward another photograph to you. 18 0 This is a photograph of Sheila Braswell; is that right? 19 20 Α That's correct. It appears a substance is coming out of her mouth and 21 Q Do you know what that is? 22 That is the edema foam that I described earlier. 23 Α Yes. It starts out being white and then it gets mixed with blood. 24

And the picture that we showed in the very beginning with the

25

red substance, it's the same material, edema foam coming out 1 of the respiratory tract and it usually comes out through the 2 nose and mouth. 3 In that picture it's white but it later turns to red? 4 Yes, it's white coming out of the mouth and there is 5 also some red that's coming out of the nostril draining down 6 into the right eyelid area. 7 Is that the fluid that was in her lungs? Q 8 Yes, that's edema fluid. 9 Α 10 0 Okay. MS. CARNESALE: Your Honor, may we move that into 11 evidence as Exhibit No. 44? 12 THE COURT: All right. 13 (Exhibit No. 44 was marked and filed.) 14 MS. CARNESALE: May we publish that, Judge? 15 THE COURT: You may. 16 A little difficult to see, Dr. Carter, I think in this 17 photograph. But that fluid was underneath the nose; is that 18 correct? 19 Yes, the red fluid is coming out of the right nostril 20 in this photograph and streaming down to the right eye so the 21 eye looks dark in this photograph. And it looks like a white 22 strip going across the lips and it's the same material. It's 23 edema foam, comes out of the respiratory tract. 24 Dr. Carter, are you familiar with the term "erotic 25

asphyxia"? 1 Α Yes. 2 What does that mean? 3 It's usually called autoerotic asphyxia. It is a 4 practice that some people indulge in whereby constricting the 5 blood flow, there is a heightened sense of pleasure when 6 undergoing either sexual -- sexual activity. 7 And autoerotic asphyxia is when someone does it to 8 themselves? 9 Yes. Α 10 Have you ever served as a forensic pathologist on cases 11 Q involving that as a cause of death? 12 Yes, I have. 13 Α Few or many times? 14 15 Α Many. And when you say "many," are you referring to 16 autoerotic asphyxia or both auto and just regular erotic 17 asphyxia? 18 19 Α Both. Which is more common? 20 Q Α Autoerotic is more common. 21 Have you autopsied bodies where you determined that was 22 Q the cause of death? 23 24 Α Yes. Few or many times? 25

A Many.

Q And based on what you know in the past and based on your autopsy in this matter, was Sheila Braswell the victim of that as a cause of death?

A In my opinion no.

Q And why is that?

A Well, scene investigation is very important on erotic asphyxial deaths, how the body was found. We usually look for signs of ligatures. We also look for signs of safe escape, whether there's something that needs to be pulled or a knot or even a key if they're using handcuffs. There's usually pornographic material around and they're usually found in the position where they have asphyxiated accidentally from having something that has constricted the blood flow to the brain.

Q What if it's a couple choking each other?

A When you have a couple that chokes, either the person that survives usually gives an explanation as to what they were doing and you see if that's consistent. If indeed it's a couple that's engaged in this behavior, medically speaking it would still be a homicide if by injuring another person you cause their death.

MR. W. BAILEY: Your Honor, I'm going to object to that. That's a conclusion offering opinion in fact. Ask the jury to disregard it.

MS. WEIRICH: It's a conclusion she has to make,

Your Honor.

MS. CARNESALE: Judge, she determines whether -THE COURT: Overruled. She stated that medically speaking that's how she categorizes it and so I'll overrule the objection. You may proceed.

- Q Just for clarification, Dr. Carter, a homicide is anything other than a natural death; is that right?
- A A homicide is someone else being responsible for another person's death.
- Q Okay. Were the injuries that you observed on Sheila Braswell consistent with erotic asphyxia?
 - A In my opinion no.
 - Q Why not?
- A One, because there was no evidence of any ligature mark. There were multiple areas of damage to the strap muscles, literally encircling the neck versus a pattern of a ligature that you usually find in autoerotic cases.
- Q What types of objects in your experience have people used on each other to participate in this?
- A I've seen belts. I've seen towels. I've seen dog collars. I've seen wire. I've seen almost anything that can be tied around the neck. I've even seen handcuffs that have been joined together and pulled around the neck.
- Q Were the abrasions or -- I'm sorry, contusions you saw on Sheila Braswell consistent with any such object?

Α No. 1 MS. CARNESALE: Judge, may I have a moment? 2 That's all I have, Dr. Carter. Thank you, Judge. I'll pass 3 the witness. 4 THE COURT: Mr. Bailey. 5 MR. W. BAILEY: Will Your Honor give me a moment? 6 May I step out for a second? 7 THE COURT: All right. We'll take a brief recess. 8 Ladies and gentlemen, we'll take a brief recess. As always, 9 do not discuss the case during the recess. 10 (Jury out.) 11 THE COURT: Doctor, you are welcome to step down 12 during the recess if you care to. Take him out, please. 13 Stand in recess. 14 (Recess.) 15 THE COURT: Bring the defendant in, please. 16 the jury in, please. 17 (Dr. Carter resumed the witness stand, 18 having previously been sworn.) 19 (Jury present.) 20 THE COURT: Mr. Bailey. 21 MR. BAILEY: Thank you, Your Honor. 22 23 24 25

CROSS-EXAMINATION 1 BY MR. W. BAILEY: 2 Dr. Carter, I'm Walter Bailey. I represent this young 3 man sitting over here, Mr. Braswell who is the defendant in 4 this matter. And I'm going to ask you a few questions. 5 in the process of my asking questions, if there is any 6 question you don't understand, will you ask me to repeat it? 7 I certainly will. 8 Thank you. I understand you were at UT Forensic 9 Q Science Center for how many years? 10 Α Less than a year. 11 12 Q Less than a year? 13 Α Yes. And before that you were where? 14 Q I was in Houston. 15 Α And how long? 16 Q With my own company for a year and prior to that as the Α 17 18 chief medical examiner of Harris County, Texas. 19 0 All right. And how long were you at Harris County? 20 Α Roughly six and a half years. All right. Okay. And after you left Harris County you 21 O came here to Shelby County? 22 23 No, sir. Α Where did you go then? 24 Q I initially went to Maryland to be closer to my mother. 25

Okay. And you were associated with the forensic 1 services there? 2 I had had my own company by that time. 3 And when you said "had your own company," that 4 meant that you peddled yourself out to be hired or employed by 5 law firms? 6 That meant I formed a company and No, sir. 7 Α incorporated under the term of "biblical dogs." 8 But you also held yourself out to be hired by 9 private lawyers and law firms? 10 Private law firms, the federal government, 11 Α associations. 12 I see. And now you are out solely to be hired by 13 lawyers and law firms and associations? 14 And the federal government and local governments. Α 15 I see. Now let me ask you. You never saw -- you 16 didn't go out to the scene of course, did you? 17 That's correct, I did not visit the scene. 18 Α But normally, it's not unusual for a pathologist such 19 as yourself who is employed by county government to go out to 20 the scene? 21 Not in the Memphis area seldom does the pathologist go 22 Α out to the scene. In other areas that I've worked in, yes, we 23 went to the scene. 24 I see. Now one of the things I want to ask you is that 25 0

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

is it a fair statement to say that this fatality resulted as a result of primarily of compression of the carotid artery? Is that a fair statement to say? That's partially a fair statement. And isn't that your diagnosis primarily that the 0 carotid artery had pressure applied for an extended period of time? That's the mechanism, along with the vein, the jugular Α vein that keeps blood from going in and out of the brain. But the jugular vein, there's no mention of the jugular Q vein being compressed in your report, is there? Α Well, that's a mechanism. Do you want to look at your report --Q Which page are you referring to, sir? Α -- to refresh your memory? 0 MR. W. BAILEY: May I, Your Honor? THE COURT: You may. MR. W. BAILEY: I'll let Mr. Lafferty do it. Is there anywhere in that report about the jugular vein Q being involved with compression? Well, I have a copy here. I thought you were referring to a particular page. I'm just talking about anywhere in your Q report did you make any reference to the jugular vein? your time.

I didn't make direct reference to the jugular vein or 1 Α the carotid artery because that's the mechanism. 2 May I have that back? Now we've got the thyroid 3 cartilage in place, haven't we? I mean, no fracture? 4 That's correct. Α 5 And wouldn't that be eventful or informational to know 6 Q if there had been a fracture of that thyroid cartilage? 7 Α Yes. 8 That would have been indicative of trauma, wouldn't it? 9 Q You mean to have a fracture there? 10 Α Yeah. 11 0 That would be further evidence of trauma. 12 Α Yeah. And the hyoid bone, there's no evidence of 13 Q fracture there either, is it? 14 That's correct. It's very flexible at that age. 15 Α All right. Show us on your model that you brought, you 16 wouldn't mind exhibiting that again? 17 Of course not. Α 18 All right. The thyroid cartilage, is the -- what is 19 known as the Adam's apple, isn't it? 20 In the male, it is. 21 Α All right. And that was intact, no disturbance there? 22 Q No, that's not correct, sir. 23 Α Except for hemorrhaging. 24 Q

25

Α

That's correct.

All right. And the same thing about the hyoid bone, 1 0 there's nothing eventful about it except for hemorrhaging in 2 that area? 3 That's correct. Α 4 All right. Thank you. You may put it down. Now let Q 5 me -- you had -- I believe you mentioned that you examined 6 both the external features of the body first, didn't you; is 7 that correct? 8 9 Α That's correct. 10 Q That's normal protocol? That's correct. 11 A All right. And as part of that process, you didn't see 12 Q anything informational about the exterior surface of the body, 13 did you? 14 I don't believe I testified to that, sir. 15 Α Well, did you? 16 Q Yes, I did. 17 Α Did you make notes of anything eventful or 18 Q informational about the external portion of the body? 19 20 Α Yes, I did. You mention the neck. 21 Q That's correct. 22 Α The redness of the neck. 23 0 The redness of the neck, the bruises on the neck, the 24 Α 25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

But the other portions of -- the entire other -- the Q body, the chest cavity portion and the lower limb and the upper limb, none of that showed any disturbance at all? That is correct. What I'm getting at is there weren't any defensive 0 wounds, were there? You know what defensive wounds are, don't you? Yes, sir, I do. Α Do you recall any defensive wounds? Q No, sir. A All right. Explain to the jury what defensive wounds Q are. Defensive wounds can be wounds that occur when one is Α trying to defend themselves. And that's normal, isn't it? That's almost done 0 instinctively in an attack, isn't it? It depends on how the person is attacked and what condition there is and whoever the person is that's attacking Depends if there is a weapon is being used. There are him. many different considerations. Right. But the bottom line is there are no defensive Q wounds here? Α No, there are not. All right. Now let me ask you. Let's talk about the Q

fingernails. There's a photograph here with bags on the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

25

fingernails. Do you recall bags being on the fingernails when you saw the remains? Yes, the body was presented with bags around the hands. And that's -- there's a purpose for that, isn't there? 0 That is correct. Α Explain to the jury what that purpose is. 0 The purpose is to protect the hands if there is any Α evidence there or if there's a need to make identification, the hands are routinely bagged by law enforcement officers at the scene. But let me show you, may I hand you this photograph. And there are bags on the hands; is that correct? That's correct. Α What kind of bags are they? Q They're brown paper bags. Α And isn't the bags to preserve information? Don't they 0 serve that purpose? Yes, that's what I just said. Α Information about a defense or defensive Right. 19 Q reaction; is that not correct? 20 Any information, sir. 21 Α Right. And you look under the fingernails for DNA, 22 0 don't you? 23 Well, you routinely for completeness sake look under 24

the fingernails and at the condition of the fingernails.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

on many occasions you might collect tissue from underneath the fingernails. Because when one is being attacked, you expect normally there to be some DNA under the fingernails; is that not correct? I wouldn't say normally. On many --Α But in many instances? 0 MS. WEIRICH: Judge, if she could be allowed to answer. THE COURT: Yes. Please let her complete her answer. All right. Very well. MR. W. BAILEY: In many instances. 0 Α That is correct, sir. Q And that's why you preserve the hands from contamination with bags over the fingernails? Α That's one of the reasons, yes. Q All right. MR. W. BAILEY: May we mark that as an exhibit, Your Honor? THE COURT: You may. 45. (Exhibit No. 45 was marked and filed.) Now let me hand to you what is a more -- and these are Q lab pictures, are they not? These are photos that I had directed to be taken.

In the lab? 1 Q 2 Α In the morgue, yes, sir. All right. And that's a more dramatic presentation of 3 the hands showing the fingernails; is that not correct? Α Well --5 A blown-up version. Let's put it that way. 6 It's an enlargement of the hand showing the 7 Α fingernails. 8 And there was a purpose for that to show that you had 9 examined the hand and the fingernails and that the examination 10 was uneventful; is that correct? 11 Well, this photograph shows the hand that has acrylic 12 nails glued on. And that's important to document that. 13 14 Right. Q Underneath are the natural nails. 15 Because oftentimes in a struggle those acrylic nails 16 17 are disturbed, aren't they? It depends on how well they are attached. 18 Α 19 0 I see. But those are just normal as if nothing has happened to those hands at all. Is that a fair statement? 20 That's correct. The hands are not injured. 21 I don't mean injured, I'm talking about in any sort of 22 23 way are the nails damaged?

There's no damage to the nails.

All right. Now let me ask you, so it's not your

24

25

Α

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

testimony that the, as I understand what you're telling us, it's your testimony that you don't single in on the carotid arteries as being the pressure points, primary pressure points upon which strangulation or suffocation occurred; is that your testimony? That's not my testimony. Α Well, is that your testimony? That was not my testimony. Α I'm asking you is that your testimony -- is that within Q your opinion, is that the primary source of strangulation, the carotid arteries? I don't understand your question. I think my question is pretty simple. Q MS. WEIRICH: Argumentative, Your Honor. Sustained. THE COURT: MR. W. BAILEY: Very well. Thank you, Your Honor. THE COURT: Just ask a question. You don't have to state whether you think it's simple or not. Just ask the Rephrase it if the witness doesn't understand. question. MR. W. BAILEY: Very well. THE COURT: Just as you indicated at the beginning of your examination. MR. W. BAILEY: Very well. Now, let me ask you. You, I believe, said All right.

that you didn't mention the carotid arteries as having unusual

pressure applied in your report. Is that -- did I understand
you correctly?
 A That is correct.

- Q And are you telling this jury that that wasn't a focal point of the strangulation?
 - A No, I have not told the jury that.
- Q Well, I'm asking you in your opinion is that a focal point of the compression?
 - A It is the mechanism.

2.3

- Q No, ma'am. I'm sorry. I didn't ask you that.
- MS. CARNESALE: Judge, she's trying to answer the question.

THE COURT: Let her complete her answer. Then if you want to follow-up with another one, you may. But she got two words out, three words out. Let her finish her answer and then you may ask the next question. Go ahead.

WITNESS CARTER: Thank you, Your Honor.

A It's the mechanism that reduces the blood flow in and out of the brain. It is the encircling of the neck with firm pressure that creates the injuries that were documented in this case that allows me to give the opinion that the mechanism, how the death occurred is that the blood flow was restricted into the central nervous system. It's a little different because these vessels are very flexible and they don't necessarily have to show any type of injury.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Well, do you have an opinion as to whether or not the carotid arteries were restricted? In a case of strangulation --Α No, ma'am. Go ahead. I'm sorry. 0 Either the jugular vein can be compressed or the carotid artery. You can obstruct flow in or out and cause the same problem. I'm asking you in the case of Ms. Braswell, Ms. Sheila Braswell, was that the case dealing with carotid arteries? In my opinion with the amount of injury that was Α observed, both vessels would have been compressed. But you don't mention that in your report? That's because it's a mechanism, sir, and the mechanism we use to explain to the jury to explain how the death occurred. Now let me also ask you. You said that there was no -that this was manual strangulation, but when we talk about manual strangulation, that can characterize many traumatic applications of strangulation, can't it? I don't know what you mean by "many." Α By that I mean it doesn't necessarily involve the Q hands? The term usually refers to the hands, manual. Α Well, can you not manually use a ligature and strangle Q

somebody? Isn't that manual strangulation?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

0

Actually the ligature itself is what does the Α strangulation and that is called ligature strangulation. So you differentiate between in your characterizing of strangulation for manual, if you thought that a device was employed, you would call it ligature strangulation. what you're telling the jury? That's correct. There would be a different pattern to Α the injury. And that's what you always do? 0 That's --Α In your definition of a strangulation? Q It's not just my definition. It's the definition used Α in the major forensic textbooks. Now let me ask you. You mentioned ligatures 0 could run the range of anything from a strap to a cord; is that a fair statement? Yes, it is. Α And it also -- but if you don't use a device such as a 0 ligature, which is nothing more than a device such as a strap, cord or whatever, rope, bathrobe cord; is that a fair statement? Α Yes, it is. If you use the arm, that's not a ligature, is it? Q Α No, it's not.

That's manual. That's just purely manual; right?

1 That's a form of manual, yes, it is. And if you use the forearm, let's talk about 2 Uh-huh. O 3 portions of the arm. Let me start with the hand. Hand you say would be manual; right? 4 Α That's correct. 5 Forearm would be manual; right? 6 7 Α It's a form of it and that's really stretching the definition there. Those are different types of holds that are 8 described differently. 9 All right. Before we start talking about holds, there 10 Q 11 are certain type holds out there? 12 Α Yes, sir. What is a bar hold? 13 0 14 A bar hold? Α 15 Q Uh-huh. I don't know. 16 Α 17 You don't know what bar hold is. Do you know what a 18 sleep hold is? 19 Yes, I do. Α 20 All right. Is that terminology in your profession, 0 sleeper hold? 21 22 Α I think it's more in police profession. We don't use 23 that. You don't use sleeper hold in your profession is what 24 Q

25

you're telling the jury?

A That's correct. I've never used the term.

Q All right. Now so you don't -- will you tell the jury in your opinion precisely what particular arteries or what particular portion of the neck -- neck is often called the larynx, isn't it?

- A That's the internal structures of the neck.
- Q What happened within that internal structure of the neck in this strangulation? Can you tell the jury what was emphasized? Where were the points of emphasis? Do you know? Let me first ask you do you know?
 - A Yes, sir, I do.

- Q All right. What were they?
- A As I showed the jury in the photographs, there were multiple points of injury on the larynx, on the pharynx, on the internal surface of the larynx and the thyroid cartilage, as well as the strap muscles that attach the head to the neck.
- Q I believe you said that -- let me just ask you. I want to make sure I understand your manual strangulation. Within forensic sciences, manual strangulation is the application of the arm, forearm or the armpit is that -- I mean the elbow bent?
- A It's usually an indication of the hands encircling the neck.
 - Q Well, what do they call it if the arm is used?
- A They usually call it a hold, a yoke or a choke hold.

Q Choke hold? They don't call that the manual? Is that your testimony?

A Yes, sir, that's a different category. It's a very broad term for asphyxial deaths. There are many different categories underneath that.

Q Well, quite frankly, there's -- in terms of looking at the signs, or the findings I should say in medical parlors, there's no -- you really can't -- you're not -- you really can't tell the difference between hands or the forearm, can you?

A You can in the way the injuries are laid out on the structures because you have fingertips and you have different areas of pressure. And in this particular case, there are many different areas of pressure and degrees of injury with the blood escaping into the muscle tissue, versus generally the history of an arm being folded around the neck would not give you this same pattern of discreet injuries to the muscle tissue.

Q I'm talking about the forearm.

MS. WEIRICH: If she could finish, Judge.

MR. W. BAILEY: I think she had.

THE COURT: Go ahead. Ask your next question.

Q I'm talking about the forearm.

A The forearm around the neck would give you a wide distribution of pressure versus individual areas of injury

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that I have described and demonstrated for the jury. Now you're not trying to tell this jury that you've Q always been 100 percent correct, are you? I don't think I've said that to the jury at all today. Α Q And you've been wrong in many instances, haven't you? I'm human like everybody else. Α And your opinions have been wrong in many instances, Q have they not? In some cases I'm sure they have been. Α All right. And of course your practice isn't an exact 0 science, is it? Medicine has never been an exact science. All right. It's a practice. Is that correct? 0 It's an art, yes, that we practice. Α Q And what you do is render an opinion. And oftentimes your other professionals take exceptions to your opinion; is that correct? Α I would not agree with that, sir. 0 Well, we won't go into that in terms of -- but let me? MS. WEIRICH: Objection, Your Honor. May we approach? (Bench conference commenced.) THE COURT: Mr. Bailey, that was really uncalled for to state in front of the jury "Well, we won't go into that," leaving the unanswered implication that there are all

sorts of examples that you can cite where professionals have disagreed with her opinions.

MR. W. BAILEY: Your Honor, let me explain. My reference to that was I don't want to continue on this line of questioning. That's what I meant.

asked her, the very question before was, there have been in fact a lot of disagreements by professionals with your opinions, haven't there? And she said, no, I don't agree with that. And then you pause and said well, we won't go into that. I mean, it seems pretty coincidental that that gratuitous comment would come right after that question and answer.

MR. W. BAILEY: Well, I started to ask another question and I decided I said, we won't go into that. That's what I meant.

THE COURT: Okay.

(Said bench conference concluded.)

- Q Let's talk about occlusion. Define to the jury what occlusion is.
- A Occlusion usually refers to when a vessel is blocked from blood flow. That's usually indicating that there's atherosclerosis or hardening of the arteries that narrows down the area the blood would flow through.
 - Q And did you find occlusion here?

No. 1 Α There was no occlusion here? 2 0 That's correct. 3 Α All right. Now I believe you said that it takes --4 0 sometimes it takes minutes for a person to reach the point of 5 fatality as a result of asphyxia; is that correct? 6 7 Α That's correct. But that depends. It varies from individual to 8 9 individual, doesn't it? 10 Α Yes, it does. And it could be as short -- would it be a fair 11 statement to say as 45 seconds? 12 We usually say 90 seconds in an infant. 13 Α 90 seconds? 14 Q 15 Yes, sir. Α 16 All right. So it can range anywhere from 90 seconds to 17 the state of anoxia which is deprivation of oxygen to the brain fatally, isn't it? 18 That's correct. 19 Α 20 It could be anywhere from 90 seconds to anoxia, 21 couldn't it? I mean, in terms of time period, it could be 90 22 seconds to minutes? That's correct. 23 Α All right. Now a person is rendered -- can be rendered 24

unconscious in even a matter of seconds, couldn't they?

That's correct. 1 Α Let's say 10 or 15 seconds you can be rendered 2 Q unconscious; is that not a fair statement? 3 That's correct in some situations. Α 4 Now let's talk a moment about All right. 5 0 autoasphyxiation. And of course I understood your testimony 6 on direct you defined it as one person involved in hanging him 7 or herself; is that correct? 8 Well it's not necessarily hanging. 9 10 0 Not, okay. But it's inducing a state of low oxygen. 11 Α And some people get off on that and use sex toys along 12 with that process, don't they? 13 That's correct. 14 Α Such sex toys as dildos and other devices if you're 15 female; is that correct? 16 I've seen it in both sexes, sir. 17 And men masturbating; is that correct? 18 0 Yes, men and women. 19 Α And I think you said you've seen this 20 Q I see. widespread and many times; is that a fair statement? 21 22 Α I said I've seen it many times. 23 Q And individuals brought in as a result of it? I've seen it directly at scenes. I've seen individuals 24 25 brought in.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And you also, I understand, have seen couples involved -- I mean, the result of where couples have been involved in this process; is that a fair statement? That is a fair statement. Α Q Many times? Α Many times. Where couples where the -- one of the parties involved Q accidentally, it resulted in death? That's correct. Α It's a risky process, needless to say; is that not a Q fair statement? That is a fair statement. But both parties assume the risk, don't they? Well, I don't know that. Α Uh-huh. Now one thing you mentioned was that in terms Q of Ms. Braswell, you don't have knowledge of where her body was initially found, do you? You just saw the photographs? Α I was given information by the police, a statement that was made, and then I did see the photographs. All right. But in terms of where the first -- where the body first rested after being taken out of the tub, you don't have that information, do you? After being taken out of the tub? Α Uh-huh. Q

I don't have that exact information. We had statements

written up by the police.

- Q All right. Now one of the things you mentioned that you said would be informational regarding erotic asphyxiation would be the environment. You didn't say environment, but I'm using environment to mean the surroundings; is that not a fair statement?
 - A That's right I didn't say environment, you're correct.
- Q But using my terminology "environment," would you not say that sex toys would be very helpful information if you were aware that there has been sex toys present?
- A The whole scene examination is important; sex toys, pornographic material.
- Q Right.
 - A Substitutes for sex toys.
- ∥ Q Right.
 - A Repetitive behavior and the positioning of how the body is found or of that description would all be important, as well as the examination of the body and the nature of the injuries that are there.
 - Q And that's important in both autoasphyxiation as well as erotic and I'm distinguishing. I'm calling where couples are involved erotic. Both are the same though, aren't they? Except in one instance you've got two people and auto you've just got one person?
 - A That's correct. To my knowledge the term is autoerotic

asphyxia. 1 All right. So let's talk about couples. It would be 2 0 -- again it would be helpful to know the sex practice of the 3 4 couples, wouldn't it? If that can be known, yes. Α 5 And that would be very informational, wouldn't it? 6 Q 7 Α It would be. And exotic devices on the body such as rings being 8 0 9 pierced in the nipples and in the stomach, would that be 10 informational? That's informational. It doesn't necessarily go with 11 Α 12 autoeroticism. But it's informational? 13 Q 14 It is informational, sir. 15 Okay. It would cause you to scratch your head, Q 16 wouldn't it? 17 Scratch my head? Α 18 Q Well, by that I mean cause you to wonder. 19 Α It may cause you to wonder, but I'm an experienced 20 pathologist and this is not unknown in the forensic section. 21 I see. So you've seen a lot of fatalities where the 22 persons came in with the bodies pierced? 23 Yes, sir. Α 24 All right. And you say that with emphasis? 0

25

Α

Yes, sir.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

All right. Now we know that the necklace on Ms. Sheila Q Braswell remained intact on her neck when you saw the photograph? That's correct. ·A And we know that -- did that in any sort of way capture Q your attention in particular? I noted that it was there and had it photographed and looked at the condition of it and removed it. You know, we looked at the body and that's part of what a forensic pathologist would do. But isn't it also a fair statement to say that in many instances you find where if a necklace has been pulled apart or broken in a struggle situation? Well, that depends on the situation. I mean defensively. Well, you can't just say defensively. You look at how the body is presented to you and the condition of whatever is on the body. If it's broken you note that. If it's bloody, you note that. If it's intact you note that. I understand. Now how widespread is this erotic asphyxiafilia? That's another name for asphyxiation, isn't Asphyxiafilia, isn't that the erotic name for it? it? Α I've never used that name. Okay. But in any event, let's say asphyxiation then.

How widespread is this spousal or couple asphyxiation?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

pretty frequent, isn't it? In your practice? I actually can't -- can't say that. Those are usually private practices and unless somebody dies, we would not know how many couples actually perform this. And in many instances -- on that note, in many instances what happens is when a person -- death does result from a miscalculation of time in that kind of situation. Time is precious, isn't it? Always. And when you have a miscalculation of time you have an unintentional death sometimes, don't you? Sometimes you do. Α MR. W. BAILEY: Very well, thank you. THE COURT: Redirect? REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. CARNESALE: Dr. Carter, did you see evidence of trauma on Sheila Braswell? Α Yes. Specifically what was that? Evidence of trauma to the neck muscles, to the skin, the tissue underneath the skin and to the larynx. And the other evidence, of course, is the pressure that results in the injury that we saw to the eyes.

Q So she had trauma basically all the way through her muscle and tissue to the stuff that's underneath?

A That's correct.

- Q Or lack of a better medical way to put it?
- A Internal structures.
- Q Internal structures. And that's what we saw in the photographs when you dissected the neck and put in the solution and part of the tissue went away; right?
 - A That's correct.
 - Q And then the hemorrhaging in the eyes, that's trauma?
 - A That's resulting from trauma, yes.
- Q I think Mr. Bailey has me confused. Carotid and jugular, can you explain what those are and where they are?
- A Yes. The carotid vessels are the arteries that carry blood directly into the brain. And the jugular veins carry blood away from the vein so that it's recirculated with fresh oxygen and the heart and lungs. These vessels occur literally just below your ear. That broad muscle that I told you about earlier covers those. That's how people get fluid through the jugular vein when they have a collapse or they're low in fluid. These are vessels and they can be compressed and leave no mark. That's the mechanism that is explained and not put into the death certificate as to how the effect of asphyxia occurs, the prevention of blood flow in and out of the brain.
 - Q And basically when someone is strangled, that flow is

being prevented both into and out of?

A When someone is strangled that flow is being prevented, as well as the difficulty in getting air in and out of the respiratory tract.

- Q Which is the nose and --
- A The nose and the mouth going into the lungs, which is your source of fresh oxygen.
- Q How long does it take to manually strangle an adult? You told Mr. Bailey 90 seconds perhaps for an infant but what's the minimum time for an adult?
- A Textbooks give a range of three to seven minutes. You can't be more precise without taking a human being and submitting them to injury in this way. So we know that the brain cannot survive maximally after seven minutes without oxygen.
- Q Have you ever heard of a case taking less than three minutes in an adult?
- A Yes. It depends on what kind of trauma you have where someone is compressed to where they actually break the thyroid cartilage or stuff an object down there or use some type of gas that would give you another type of asphyxia, like cyanide occurs very rapidly. It's poisoning at the cellular level so I mentioned there are very many different kinds of asphyxial death that occur.
 - Q How about for manual strangulation?

A Depending on the force used and what kind of injuries we see, you have to give the range of three to seven minutes, unless you have something that would cause instantaneous death, which is unusual in a strangulation death, instantaneous.

O And in this case was death instantaneous?

A In my opinion it was not. This is an occurrence over several minutes. You had the well-formed areas of bleeding in the muscle. You have those petechiae that have taken time to form completely inside of the larynx and the eyes. That took some time to occur.

Q Do they form before a person -- or in this case before Ms. Braswell died? Is that when they formed?

A Because an increase in pressure and when a person has died, you're not going to develop petechiae after death. It's a condition of blood flow. So these have formed while the injury is being produced in what we call the peri-mortem period as the body is beginning to shut down, you still have some little pumping. You still have some blood flowing and that pressure is resulting in those petechiae hemorrhages.

- Q Now you observed injuries, is it fair to say, all around the neck?
 - A That is correct.
- Q Sorry?

A That is correct.

There was some discussion about manual, what is manual. 1 0 By "manual" do you mean with someone's hands? 2 Α Yes. 3 You told Mr. Bailey and I missed the end of your 4 I believe he asked you could it have been done --5 could Ms. Braswell have been strangled with someone's forearm 6 and I believe you stated --7 MR. W. BAILEY: Your Honor, I think this is 8 9 leading. MS. CARNESALE: Judge, I'm trying to clarify and 10 I'll rephrase it if you wish, but I'm trying to repeat what he 11 said to clarify my confusion. 12 THE COURT: Yes, you may ask. 13 Mr. Bailey I believe asked you if this strangulation 14 0 I heard could have occurred by the use of someone's forearm. 15 you to say that you would have seen a wide range of injuries. 16 Is that what you were saying to him? 17 What I was trying to say is you have a distribution of 18 Α pressure when a forearm is used that's not going to give you 19 these particular areas of injury to the muscles that were 20 described and documented. It's a different process. 21 22 result is the same, but it's a different process that causes 23 these injuries to the neck structures. MS. CARNESALE: Thank you. No further questions. 24

THE COURT: Anything further, Mr. Bailey?

MR. J. BAILEY: Nothing further, Judge. 1 THE COURT: You may step down. Can I see y'all at 2 the bench? 3 (Bench conference commenced.) 4 THE COURT: You have one additional witness you 5 need to get on tonight before --6 MS. WEIRICH: I think so but let me just check. 7 We may even be to the point now she has to stay overnight 8 anyway. And if that's the case and Your Honor wants to 9 adjourn --10 THE COURT: If she's going to have to stay 11 overnight anyway, then let --12 MS. WEIRICH: Let me find out. 13 MR. J. BAILEY: May I say this to the Court? I 14 think if we're talking about Ms. Woods, our cross-examination 15 is going to be pretty long of her. So I would just want to --16 so we will have a gauge of time. So she may have to stay 17 18 over --THE COURT: Yeah. I think you're probably going 19 to need to make arrangements. I mean, you can talk to her. 20 We'll take a break. 21 MS. WEIRICH: Those arrangements may have already 22 been made. I don't know. 23 MR. W. BAILEY: Your Honor, one other thing was in 24 terms of marshaling our proof, --25

THE COURT: Let me send the jury out.

MR. W. BAILEY: Very well.

(Said bench conference concluded.)

THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, we'll take a brief recess and call you back.

(Jury out.)

MS. WEIRICH: Her flight is at 8:30 in the morning, Judge. And it's apparently a nonrefundable ticket that we bought under the -- thinking that we could get her on and off tonight.

THE COURT: Well, I just don't know how realistic it is. I mean, the Attorney General's office may just have to buy another ticket because the direct I suspect will be fairly long. The cross I'm sure will be very long. And so we would have to stop for dinner for the jury's sake. I mean, it would be 11 o'clock at night before we finished that testimony probably, and I just don't think that that's appropriate or wise. So I regret the added expense but I think that that's going to be unavoidable. She had planned to stay overnight anyway but take a flight out tomorrow; right?

MS. WEIRICH: Would be out first thing in the morning, yes, sir.

THE COURT: Well, she'll have to stay overnight and just have to take a later flight. And y'all can get her an afternoon flight or an evening flight tomorrow or something

of that sort. And just do what you can with the other ticket.

I think we're just going to have to do that.

And then with regard with your marshaling of the proof? I would suspect that after lunch would be a safe assumption so 1:30 if you would have your proof ready at 1:30, we won't get to it before then. Even if for some reason we should finish the State's proof midmorning or late morning, we'll wait until 1:30 to start your proof so have your proof lined up to begin at 1:30 tomorrow.

MR. J. BAILEY: Let me -- one second. Nothing further.

THE COURT: Bring the jury back in, please.

THE COURT: All right. Ladies and gentlemen, we've reached a stopping point for the day, and I just wanted to bring you back in and remind you again not to discuss the case or anything about the case overnight. And we will resume the trial at nine o'clock tomorrow morning. We'll see you at that time.

(Jury out.)

(Jury present.)

THE COURT: Take him out, please. You may adjourn court.

```
(Court was adjourned until 9 a.m.,
1
                        Thursday, December 8, 2005.)
2
3
4
5
6
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
                             (END OF VOLUME THREE)
25
```